

comment@boardmantohemingway.com

From: steve@capitalserviceinvestigations.com
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2015 12:58 PM
To: comment@boardmantohemingway.com
Subject: B2H comment

I cannot see any benefit to the Timber Canyon Alternative route. It's far more circuitous and necessitates many crossings of privately owned land. I'd much rather see the route follow existing easements as much as possible which includes I-84 to the greatest extent possible. My wife and I are property owners on Dry Gulch RD and would be negatively affected by a high tension line passing within 100 yards of our house which directly adjoins the road.

sincerely,

Steven Hahn
Lois Grushka