

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
5	5321	July 15, 2010	KENNY METZGER	Map #10 Parcel number(s) 23S46E01100, 23S46E01000, 23S34E01200, 23S46E00600 Are there any considerations or issues related to your property that you would like Idaho Power to know? Should go southwest of their property and move to BLM land.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Appendix E Map 50, Proposed Route MP 273-274	NA	No Further Action (NFA)	Suggest IPC follow up.
7	5334	August 10, 2010	RICK SIMMONS	The proposed tall towers surrounding the community only one mile out will greatly diminish our general ambiance of life because OF THE FOLLOWING;... Our proposed solution is for Idaho power to agree to give the Brogan community a three-mile exclusion zone for High Tension powerlines now and in the future. Build the powerline three miles out from Brogan in all directions.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Appendix E Map 39, Proposed Route	NA	NFA	Suggest IPC follow up.
78	5478	April 28, 2010	ALAN M INSKO	Hopefully we can convince you of moving the line across our place (2 ½ mile, map 14-15, see 7,8,9) about 1000' south making the job you make in sections 11,1,s34E back in sec 7,1S33E. Same owners, moves line farther back away from their farmsteads, still on hillside below ridge line, does not change length of line, does not appear to change difficulty or number of towers.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Appendix E, Maps 14-15, Proposed Route MP 77 - 89	NA	NFA	Micrositing, suggest IPC follow up.
79	5481	May 16, 2010	JOE D RIETMANN	One suggestion to limit- impact on farm use, would be to continue the alternative route straight west from sect10n 27 through section 28, T2N R24E. This would limit the impact on the Doherty farm. We have enclosed a copy of Map 57 from your web site and indicated the proposed route change, the proposed change does not impact our farm and is offered as an observation by a farmer as to the impact on farming operations.	5	30	Routing	See Siting Study Appendix E, Map 58, Proposed Route MP 15.5 - 17.5	NA	NFA	Micrositing, suggest IPC follow up. Map attached to Comment Letter 5481.
220	5776	November 19, 2009	MARK CERNY	Kent, enclosed is my route. I realize it is not a pencil line on a map but a general description on the area I feel it should be built. My route number is 654.	6	30	General	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route; supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	No Map Attached to CAP Letter; Suggest IPC Follow up
264	5856	August 27, 2009	W. KIRK WILLIAMS	A transmission corridor and mining claim is not an unusual multiple use situation.	2	30	Routing	NA	NA	NFA	Suggest IPC follow up.
472	6202	July 20, 2010	LINDA DORMAN	Map #7 Parcel number(s) 12S44E02300, 12S44E03200, 12S44E03100, 12S44E03600, 13S44E00400...Rather have the line on the alternate (Weatherby area)	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, support Weatherby Alternative	4 Proposed and Alternative Routes	Address as CBE in EIS	Suggest IPC follow up.
37	5383	November 17, 2009	DAWN PENCE	And if we do then follow the freeway it would be the path of least resistance and least impact.	3	30	Routing	NA	3 Siting	Address I-84 Concept Route as CBE in EIS	
94	5514	January 13, 2010	THOMAS E BROWN	I am wondering why the powerline can't stick to the interstate corridor where such utilities are expected?	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Appendix E, Map 34, Proposed Route MP 188-189	2 Approach to Siting	Address I-84 Concept Route as CBE in EIS	
144	5656	January 13, 2010	THOMAS E BROWN; THOMAS E BROWN	powerline simply follow the interstate corridor?	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address I-84 Concept Route as CBE in EIS	
203	5757	November 19, 2009	JERRY EBELTOFT	I-84 is a real possibility with some mitigation in the La Grande, Baker City and Ag land in the Ontario area.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes	3 Siting	Address I-84 Concept Route as CBE in EIS	
237	5806	January 4, 2010	JUDGE TERRY TALLMAN	Route along interstate 84 or upgrade existing transmission corridor.	5	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address I-84 Concept Route as CBE in EIS	
392	6073	August 25, 2009	EDWARD TSCHIDA	Why not use the interstate highway all the way for those power lines + stay away from private property	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address I-84 Concept Route as CBE in EIS; Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
221	5777	March 15, 2010	BOB MASON	A route that follows the existing power lines along Interstate Highway 84 would cause the least impacts. It should be positioned to the east of the Oregon Trail Interpretive Center and hidden between hills as much as possible.	9	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address I-84 Concept Route as CBE in EIS; Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
97	5516	August 13, 2009	ANONYMOUS	Use existing BPA rights of way and/or towers to provide additional capacity wherever possible.	2	30	Structure	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology and Alternative Structure Sections in EIS	Using the existing BPA right of way or towers is not feasible for this project.
11	5345	March 9, 2010	KEN TERAMURA	Stay clear of EFU ground	1	30	Routing	NA	2.2.1 Constraints	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
23	5361	May 25, 2010	LORRAINE HUBBARD	Use existing lines and route...Central alternative route? Skirting the National Forest	1	30	General	See Siting Study 3.4-6, Central Route	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
26	5363	March 9, 2010	JOHN HARTMAN	the routes that minimize the intrusion of the line on prime farm land and city areas of impact, would be preferred.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
50	5409	March 25, 2010	ANDREA OFFICER	We feel that Idaho Power should be using existing energy corridors and using the direct route between Boardman and Hemingway as their object of study and troubleshooting.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
53	5419	September 30, 2009	KENNETH D PRICE	S13 to S6 on the Idaho side and away from Malheur County's EFU ground.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S13, S6,	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
54	5420	September 30, 2009	PATTIE PRICE	stays closer to Boise and the population.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S13, S6,C9, S19, S23	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
55	5421	September 30, 2009	NORMA JEAN LE PRICE	stay on the Idaho side to stay away from EFU ground in Malheur County and farm ground on the Idaho side.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1 CAP Route S6,s13	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
56	5422	September 30, 2009	KAYLENE SAITO	follow existing lines ... 3) not going through prime farms	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1 CAP Route C9	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
57	5424	September 30, 2009	REID SAITO	1) follow existing lines where possible	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1 CAP Route C9	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
58	5424	September 30, 2009	REID SAITO	2) avoid EFU ground and populated areas	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
59	5427	September 30, 2009	EVELYN SAYERS	Malheur County EFU land. We would like the intent of the lines to stand but tweaks to allow them to miss exclusion areas would be OK.	1	30	General	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes S6, S13, S18, S21, S23	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
63	5433	September 30, 2009	NORMA BURBANK	Keep off of EFU ground	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes S18,S19,S20, S21, S23	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
64	5435	September 30, 2009	JASON YOUTSEY	Avoid Exclusive Farm Use	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes S23-C9-S13; second choice S21-S19-S13-C9-S23	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
65	5439	September 30, 2009	CAROL KNOTHE	Avoid EFU in Malheur County	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes S19-S20-S21	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
66	5439	September 30, 2009	CAROL KNOTHE	Avoids leks	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes S19-S20-S21	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
67	5441	September 30, 2009	KEN KNOTHE	Most of route is on public land – avoid EFU lands.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
81	5485	April 4, 2010	LARRY MAPLESDEN	Western... Let the line be built in the vicinity of other utilities already in place.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Western Route	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	Existing energy, utility and transportation corridors have been designated as opportunities since routing studies began. Where reasonable considering the full range of environmental constraints existing corridors have been included as part of the Proposed Route.
84	5491	September 16, 2009	GARTH JOHNSON	utilizes the energy corridor on the south end	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C6	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
88	5495	September 23, 2009	CHRIS BODEWIG	no farm ground all range you can weave around crop ground	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N4	3.3.5 West of National Forest Utility Corridor Region	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
105	5534	September 30, 2009	LEE BELT	utilize the west-wide energy corridor	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, support CAP Route S19, S9; oppose S18	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	The WWECs were considered routing opportunities through out the siting process and used in part by the Proposed Route.
108	5546	September 30, 2009	VIKKI WYATT	Using the existing energy corridor makes perfect sense	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S19-S21/Central Corridor	2 Approach to Siting, 3.4 Alternative Routes	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
120	5599	August 19, 2009	ANONYMOUS	I would like to see the line used existing pipeline/power corridors wherever possible. Example is a gas line by Dead Man Page Rest Area with heads toward Baker.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
124	5610	September 23, 2009	RALPH MORTER	Try to minimize distance to coal plant. Cut across TNC if possible	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N26, N30	3.3.1 Boardman Region	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
125	5614	September 23, 2009	GARY NEAL	use NF corridor out of Union County	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N7	4 Proposed and Alternative Routes	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	The Proposed Route uses the utility corridor through the Wallowa Whitman National Forest.
156	5682	December 17, 2009	MAURA KEHR	I would recommend using existing routes where high voltage lines already exist and have been clear cut etc.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
158	5689	March 20, 2009	DICK FLEMING	minimizes impact on existing high value irrigated farm ground and on existing development.	2	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	Irrigated farmland and existing development were constraints in the siting process.
159	5689	March 20, 2009	DICK FLEMING	It should be located where the visual impact will be minimized.	3	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	Potential visual impact has been considered since the routing process began. It will be part of the EIS evaluation of the Proposed and Alternative corridors.
176	5718	November 4, 2009	JACK SOUTHWORTH	Industrial development should be as much as possible in developed areas.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
179	5718	November 4, 2009	JACK SOUTHWORTH	Utilize existing corridors, most direct route, avoid National Forests.	5	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	The proposed route utilizes an existing utility corridor through the national Forest.
187	5726	September 30, 2009	SHARON LAWRENCE	I support building the line in Idaho 2. Do not build the line on Exclusive Farm Use in Oregon	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S18-21, S23, S13 and S6	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	EFU land was a siting constraint in the CAP; however it is unavoidable in routing to the proposed Hemingway (Grassland) Substation
189	5738	November 4, 2009	DAN NICHOLS	Use existing corridors when available.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
198	5752	November 19, 2009	MAURA KEHR	Upgrade existing lines and use clear cut areas already there...We live in Union County primarily and wish the present lines could be used and improved.	1	30	General	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	The proposed 500 kV line is needed in addition to, not as a replacement or an upgrade for existing lines.
222	5777	March 15, 2010	BOB MASON	The sage grouse leks should also be avoided.	10	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	Sage -grouse leks are ODFW category I habitat and have been avoided in the routing process.
226	5783	August 12, 2009	ALVIN WARD	Go thro range land as much as possible (or low value land. Try to avoid good farmland, especially row crop land or where it would interfere with irrigation (mainly cicles + whee lines	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	Farmland including irrigated farmland was a constraint in the CAP.
283	5893	January 21, 2010	KEITH GREEN	avoid the major farm areas and the sage grouse areas.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
285	5895	January 21, 2010	BARNEY HARPER	Avoid farm ground.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
286	5896	May 21, 2009	GRANT KITAMURA	I oppose putting the line on exclusive farm use land.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
289	5898	May 29, 2009	MATTHEW P DOHERTY	The siting of easements on Federal Lands including Boardman bombing range and lands managed by nature conservancy should be just practical to consider as any private land when the route is being planned.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	Idaho Power has been working with the Department of Defense with regard to locating the line within the northern boundary of the Bombing Range so as to not affect irrigated agricultural practices occurring along the northern side of the boundary. The Navy has consistently advised that this is not possible. The Boardman Conservation was determined to be unavailable because the agreement with land owners prohibits transmission structures.
290	5899	September 30, 2009	STEVEN R LEWIS	All routes must avoid EFU land use areas in Oregon.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
299	5911	September 30, 2009	ROBERTA TRENKEL	It stays off Exclusive farmland.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C9, S23; C9,s13	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
306	5923	September 30, 2009	ALICE HANSEN-URE	Avoid EFU lands or use (all) 2) Pivot irrigation systems... Need to avoid any areas that our crop dusters need to spray.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S23,C9, S18, S13,S19, S21	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
317	5952	December 8, 2009	BRAD HOLTON	across Exclusive Farm Use & irrigated Agriculture... My preference is avoid all active farm ground whenever possible.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, Opposes CAP Route S7, S18	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
321	5957	December 8, 2009	KEITH GREEN	As I put powerline in Oregon through farm ground, I did so as the most direct route to Hemingway.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
325	5972	August 26, 2009	JANICE GEERTSON	Avoid areas providing an agricultural economic --- for our communities, avoid good --- and --- infrastructure. Avoid municipalities and there areas of import and potential growth. Avoid other community infrastructures, --- roads.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
326	5972	August 26, 2009	JANICE GEERTSON	Use existing corridors as much as possible. Don't neede new ones.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
329	5975	September 27, 2009	RICK MENDIVE;W ANETA MENDIVE	We hope to see the transmission line located in unpopulated areas such as BLM and avoid the EFU land completely. As Oregon residents in EFU areas we are expected to adhere to the strict guidelines established by EFU and we would like to see Idaho Power respect the logic and concept of the EFU restrictions. These restrictions are in place to preserve the extremely limited farmable land in our area by locating the power lines away from these areas Idaho Power can help to preserve our incomes and heritage.	3	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
332	5984	March 24, 2010	DALE CAMPBELL	WESTERN...Is it true that there are already in place easement corridors for the purpose of these lines? If that is the case why would it not be the least cost approach to utilize existing corridors for the purpose of locating these lines? I assume the cost of construction plus the cost to acuire the land would be less using the existing corridors?	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
338	5992	October 21, 2009	ADELE CERNY	WESTERN...I believe that these transmission lines should be sited in existing high traffic corridors	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
350	6020	October 21, 2009	GREG BOWMAN	Use existing easements and righ of ways: current power lines, interstate highways, state highways. AVOID: Scenic rivers, sensitive habitat, and wild lands as much as possible.	8	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
354	6029	August 27, 2009	DENNIS MOYER	Follow routes through BLM grass lands as much as possible . It is recognized that power needs will be increasing but care is needed in planing to reduce negative impacts that limit land use potential for cities and private property owners. BLM land uses are already established as open space.	3	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
371	6051	September 27, 2009	THOMAS E PHILLIPS	The majority of the power use from this transmission line is being used by Idaho consumers. The line should be sited as much as possible in Idaho. Not Oregon.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
372	6052	September 27, 2009	AJ MAUPIN, PE	Idaho Dept. of Transportation is currently looking @ routing US Hiway 95. Have discussion been held with potential parallel corridor in Idaho w/ITDs activities?	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.14-1 Snake River Valley Region	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
378	6061	September 27, 2009	TERRY E HERZBERG	Use a route that does not impact first residential areas or prime farm ground, second that does not impact scenic views or "areas with potential for residential and/or business" and third that will not in any way devalue anyones property.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
400	6083	August 25, 2009	JACOB ROBINSON	BLM land west of Nyssa and Adrien then south of homdale on BLM land! In the Owyees not any where near are citys of Parma, Nyssa, Adrian, Homdale and Maring.	2	30	Routing	NA	4.1 Proposed Route Description by County	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
402	6087	August 25, 2009	BILL PAHL	In my perfect world, the line would be routed around major (minor) living areas of people + cross over remote land with lateral lines penetrating into areas of growth + need.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	Towns, cities and other communities were designated as constraints and avoided in routing. Much of the land along the Proposed Route is remote.

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
417	6111	August 25, 2009	AARON DANES	I think they should stick to the already allotted corridor for energy... it is very worry some to the home owners what it does to there pocket book.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
419	6114	August 25, 2009	JAY CHAMBERLIN	Placing it thru prime Ag lands. There must be lower uslue lands To place this lin on. Stay away from EFU Lands, use energy corridors that are close by.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
422	6119	August 25, 2009	BRADFORD BROWN	Use existing corridors as much as possible. Don't neede new ones.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
425	6122	August 25, 2009	JOHN BECHTEL	I made the trip from Wilder to Murphy today. My thoughts were put that line out there in all of those thousands of miles of bare non-productive land; not on the prime land running parral to the desert. There (in my mind) no good reason to incroch on private property.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
427	6124	October 21, 2009	JIM KENNEDY	Route along interstate 84 or upgrade existing transmission corridor.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
448	6149	August 13, 2009	DAVID WILDMAN	Having said that, if the new proposed lines have to go through we should use existing corridors and not cut new ones just because it is easier and less expensive.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
452	6153	August 13, 2009	GLEN MCGUIRE	the lines should be sited so that they run through sparsely populated areas.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
454	6155	October 21, 2009	ROBIN HEROLD	It should follow the existing power lines. This would minimize the impact to the natural beauty of these counties. Other possibilities include following the freeways or state hiway corridors.	3	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
456	6156	October 21, 2009	EVA HARRIS	Having said that, if the new proposed lines have to go through we should use existing corridors and not cut new ones just because it is easier and less expensive.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
457	6157	October 21, 2009	LENE HARMON	Maintain usage of established corridors, ex: state hwys, freeways - along existing lines	3	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	Existing highway, gas pipeline, transmission and other energy and utility corridors have been designated as opportunities since routing studies began. Where reasonable considering the full range of environmental constraints such corridors have been included as part of the Proposed and Alternate routes.
469	6185	December 8, 2009	MICHAEL R. HAMBY	we encourage you to place the line on a route that uses more public land. We understand that there are proposed routes that run southwest of the treasure valley that have little impact on wildlife habitat and utilize more public ground. We are in favor of a route that can achieve these objectives.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, support CAP Route S9, S19, S20; oppose S18	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
470	6186	December 8, 2009	SID ANDERSON	Using this section is also more conducive to using the West Wide Energy Corridor that has previously been identified on public lands and which we strongly encourage using.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.14-1 Snake River Valley Region, supports Segment OW2-MA7; opposes CAP Routes S7, S18	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
485	6253	May 21, 2009	RICHARD TERAMURA	Use existing corridors as first choice before private lands	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
494	6262	June 4, 2009	JOCHEN W HAGBERG	USE Designated Energy corridors - that's what they are for!	9	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
498	6269	September 30, 2009	SHARON PENN	Using existing PP & L at South keeps it off and away from sage grouse and avoids EFU land in Malheur Co.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C9-S19, S20, S21; S13, S6, S23	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
71	5452	September 23, 2009	KARL D SMITH	cross TNC and bombing range easement to avoid most pivots,... alternate further west, just outside bombing range easement and directly south	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes C6-C18-C9-N6	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
396	6077	August 25, 2009	KARL J. JINDRA	Use public land when possible - - Humaans are higher priority than some deser rat. Use monolithic poles in areas surrounded by private land/homes.	6	30	Routing	NA	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Address in Alternatives Structure Section in EIS	
490	6260	June 4, 2009	ELAINE HAGBERG	I wish Idaho Power could find a way to use a less intrusive way to transmit power through Oregon- like DC power or a newer technology line. Idaho Power would be lauded by the nation and its own bondholders for pushing the envelope towards more green decisions.	2	30	Energy	NA	NA	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Address in Alternatives Structure Section in EIS	
493	6262	June 4, 2009	JOCHEN W HAGBERG	this energy should be transmitted in DC form; then siting problems would be minor, by comparison.	8	30	Energy	NA	NA	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Address in Alternatives Structure Section in EIS	
437	6137	September 3, 2010	DONALD BECK	It is time to replace High Voltage Alternating Current (HVAC) Power and produce High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) power in long routes of transmission of High Voltage Power.	10	30	Structure	NA	NA	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Address in Alternatives Structure Section in EIS	
439	6141	October 21, 2009	JERRY EBELTOFT	I-84 already a blemish on the viewshed, could be the path of the new X-mission line but instead of going aerial the line could be laid on the ground (not underground, which has a capacitance problem) in 3' diameter plastic pipes, right along the Interstate, spaced appropriately and protected by guard rail or bunkers. The capacitance issue could be addressed with this method. Actually this could work for the LaGrande Valley also.	3	30	Structure	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3 Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Address in Alternatives Structure Section in EIS	
461	6165	July 29, 2009	TOM WOODRUFF	Is Idaho Power looking into more efficient methods of power transmission? Is underground even a possibility? High efficiency aluminum units (as in New Zealand and Aus.)?	1	30	Structure	NA	NA	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Address in Alternatives Structure Section in EIS; Address in Underground Technology Section in EIS	
8	5337	December 8, 2009	KATHY ALDER	Yes – Stay on public lands and away from prime farm ground. Avoid city impact areas	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
27	5367	March 9, 2010	MARGARET M WATSON	support the route that has the largest % of public land. I also support the route which effect the least amount of prime farm ground.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
39	5389	September 30, 2009	ALICE HANSEN-URE	Need to avoid EFU land or farming use, avoid pivot irrigation system, Stay on BLM or public lands, avoid health issues (noise, medical, etc), need avoid any crop dusting areas. Malheur County does not use as much electricity as much as Idaho does, so it needs to be built in Idaho kv 500 lines.	1	30	General	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S23-C9-S13	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
51	5414	September 30, 2009	MILT OSGOOD	Use less EFU and prime farmlandPuts line on public land for the public good	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C9, S19,S20,S21	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
52	5418	September 30, 2009	LARRY PRICE	stay on mostly public landS9 – stays off Oregon EFU ground in Malheur Co	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route XX	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
60	5429	September 30, 2009	RONNEY G YOST	avoid EFU property as much as possible. Public ground for public need.	1	30	General	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes S19	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
61	5430	September 30, 2009	DELORIS C YOST	avoid EFU property as much as possible. Public ground for public need.	1	30	General	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes S19	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
68	5443	September 30, 2009	ROBERT BIVINS	Put on federal corridor. Avoid farmland and homes.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
70	5445	August 12, 2009	ANONYMOUS	Put it on as much public land as possible. Due to the national need for the power grid in the Pacific NW it should be allowed on public land. Fill in the gaps with private land & pay landowners market value (rather than eminent domain rates).	1	30	Routing	NA	NA	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
73	5458	March 24, 2010	STEVE RONFELD	I feel that this transmission line should be routed through government land, FOREST SERVICE OR BLM PROPERTY, which is available on this route. I do not believe private property owners should have to have transmission lines through their property when government land is available.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, oppose Western Route	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
74	5459	March 24, 2010	MARILYN BOYD	Western... Isn't there an alternative option for routing the lines? What about government land options, like BLM?	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, oppose Western Route	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
77	5472	April 5, 2009	NORMAN RUETH	We need to put the majority of this Transmission Freeway on public lands and away from existing communities.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.14-1 Snake River Valley Region	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
93	5513	September 27, 2009	FLORENCE SHENK; BILL SHENK	There is an existing corridor - why not follow that? Keep it off the agricultural ground - away from the farm lands. There are thousands of acres of BLM ground to be considered.	4	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
109	5547	September 30, 2009	GARY BOOR	Goes through a bare minimum of EFU land if the line benefits the public the line should be on public land not private land	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, support CAP Routes S18-21, S23, S13, S6	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
118	5590	September 27, 2009	ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS	Please route the line away from prime farmland, homes and areas of potential for future development. Please route the line on public lands (desert)	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
297	5908	September 30, 2009	RICHARD D DAVIS	To keep the route on public ground and off of prime farm1st analysis -- route	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S13, S6	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
298	5909	September 30, 2009	CONNEL R PETERSON	primarily public lands and away from populated areas.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S13, S6	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
344	6001	November 19, 2009	NEIL BAUER	Put it in areas that already have lots of this infrastructure in place &/or other forms & styles of development. Either that or site it through areas of low human use and non usable land, ie. National Forest Land.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
347	6009	August 12, 2009	SHAWN BERRY	What are your suggestions for siting the transmission line? The use of Federal ground & low productive Range Ground.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
348	6010	August 12, 2009	CHERYL BUCHANAN	What are your suggestions for siting the transmission line? If it is to come thru Baker Co. -Durkee area - I would like to see it stay out of Durkee Valley. I would hope it would stay on BLM and in sagebrush ground.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Eastern Route	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
349	6019	October 21, 2009	RON BURNETTE	The line should be sited on public land whenever possible. Wherever it has to be on private land all efforts should be made to minimize adverse effects.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C24, C6	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
355	6031	August 26, 2009	ELIAS D JACA	Keep the line off private property (the power is for public use, keep it on (public) BLM Land.)	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
358	6035	August 26, 2009	JIM PRICE	Routes that would least affect people and have the transmission line be on ground other than private property and land used for farming.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
363	6041	September 27, 2009	JEFF SUTTON	I really hope every effort possible is made to stay out of EFU land, concentrate primarily on public lands that are not agriculture based...PUBLIC LAND should be the goal of this commity when designing this route	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
370	6049	September 27, 2009	EVELYN SAYERS	A route thru Idaho should be seriously studied. A route thru Malheur County should only be on public land.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
373	6054	September 27, 2009	STEVEN R LEWIS	1 - Use Idaho land first. 2 - EFU land should not be crossed. 3 - Use existing public owned corridors... more cost effective!	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
374	6056	September 27, 2009	FRANCES R LEWIS	1) Place in Idaho! 2) Do not cross land zoned EFU! 3) With thousands of acres of publically owned land, it seems smart to use that land rather than purchase private land...	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
375	6057	September 27, 2009	GRANT KITAMURA	My primary suggestion is to place the line on public lands and keep it off F - 1 farmland in Malheur County.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
377	6060	September 27, 2009	NORMAN R HOLLARS	Keep away from populated areas, including residential and farm lands. 2) I suggest it be sited primarily on BLM land. Avoid the Treasure Valley. 3) Probably the best route would be west of Vale on BLM land	8	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
379	6062	September 27, 2009	JUDY HERZBERG	I would like to see existing corridors and/or public land receive first consideration.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
381	6065	September 27, 2009	GARY BOOR	the siting of the power line on EFU ground. Power that benefits the public needs to be sited on the public ground.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
384	6067	September 27, 2009	STACI N TRENKEL	Power lines need to be outside of EFU, and as far away of the population as possible. Public ground!	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
387	6070	August 25, 2009	CLAYTON WHEELER	Crossing too much farm land and to close to residential housing...Place lines on public land - BLM - Forest service.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
389	6071	August 25, 2009	W KEITH VICKERS	Cutting up farm land & going thru areas of impact for Idaho cities. I am for the line, but would insist that all effort is made to put power lines on public property.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
390	6072	August 25, 2009	PHYLLIS TURCO	Pursue vigorously putting the majority (if not all) of this line on public land. Siting should be in the least populated or farmed areas.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
395	6076	August 25, 2009	JAMES SMITH	Use unproductive Barron, Rugged, wasted land. Use BLM or state land where there are no homes or beautiful Productive Private farmland.	3	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
397	6078	August 25, 2009	MARILYN RUSSELL	Put this project out away from our communities on "Public" land...Keep it away from populated areas. It belongs on BLM Land. None of this needs to be put on land where people live.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
401	6086	August 25, 2009	JERRY PAYNE	The Transmission line must not be put on prime farmground it needs to be put on public land.... put the line in Oregon on public land. Best solution.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
405	6091	August 25, 2009	ROD NIELSEN	Stick with Federal corridors. Follow the PP&L line from Hemingway West and where an acceptable route can be found head north to Boardman. I would think a route along these lines would include an large amount of public property.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
407	6096	August 25, 2009	THERESA HULBERT	I would definitely like the transmission lines to run primarily through public lands and away from prime farm lands.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
409	6097	August 25, 2009	RYAN HULBERT	What are your suggestions for siting the transmission line? Routes that would least affect people and have the transmission line be on ground other than private property and land used for farming.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
411	6101	August 25, 2009	JOHN HARTMAN	This line should avoid crossing prime farm ground and city areas of Impact. As much as possible site this line in existing federal power line corridors and as much as possible use public lands BLM and forest service.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
415	6109	August 25, 2009	DICK DICKSTEIN	Keep it on government land, well away from cities, farms and airports.	3	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
418	6112	August 25, 2009	CAROLE COX	It should not be built on private property or small commercial land. Use public or BLM land to construct towers on + pass linis over. Isn't there already lines in Owyhee's that are on public land that other lines can go alongside?	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
420	6115	August 25, 2009	VERNON E. CASE	I think the line should be put on BLM ground and not on private property. There is a large line on BLM ground on the South side of the Snake River now put it there!	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting; 4.1 Proposed Route Description by County	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
484	6251	May 21, 2009	KEN TERAMURA	Move towers to BLM land where possible to preserve valuable class I to IV farm land (EFU)	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
486	6253	May 21, 2009	RICHARD TERAMURA	Stay off private land is much as possible and use existing corridors in as straight a path as possible straight to Hemingway	7	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
489	6258	June 4, 2009	DONI CLAIR	Keep to fed land as much as possible - mitigate w/ landowners.	5	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
83	5488	September 16, 2009	YOGI HAGBERG	it uses more public lands and joins an existing energy corridor.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C6, C9	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.; Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
49	5403	November 19, 2009	MARGARET CAREY	find a way to celebrate these towers within this theme designing best placement – modify heights where possible? An occasional ground installation? Etc. i.e. Golden Gate Bridge is beauty ain't it?	1	30	Structure	NA	NA	Address in Alternatives Structure Section in EIS	
205	5762	April 27, 2009	KEN ELLIOTT	I am hopeful that Idaho Power is taking into consideration the potential benefits of using ACCC – Aluminum Conductor Composite Core...in the design and upgrading of our transmission grid.	1	30	Structure	NA	NA	Address in Alternatives Structure Section in EIS	
206	5762	April 27, 2009	KEN ELLIOTT	Another option to consider in the long-term transmission system planning is the reconductoring of Idaho Power's existing transmission grid by replacing steel core cables with the more efficient ACCC cables.	2	30	Structure	NA	NA	Address in Alternatives Structure Section in EIS	
209	5766	March 8, 2010	BARRY BEYELER	Collaboration with PGE to establish an acceptable route is viewed as very positive. It would seem this collaboration should take a long-term view when assessing routing and if at all possible using towers capable of carrying dual 500 KVA circuits per tower to reduce overall foot prints of transmission lines~ Tower selection allowing for dual 500 KVA circuit would allow for addition of capacity Without establishment of an additional footprint which further restricts use of the land within an expanded easement.	3	30	Structure	NA	NA	Address in Alternatives Structure Section in EIS	
442	6142	January 13, 2010	ROBERT STEWART	take existing power lines and upgrade the towers to handle the additional load required.	7	30	Structure	NA	NA	Address in Alternatives Structure Section in EIS	Existing transmission lines are at capacity and cannot support additional lines. Additionally, 500kV lines require larger structures and right-of-way than what is currently in place (230kV lines and lower) in the project area.
416	6110	September 27, 2009	DAN R TSCHIDA	We have a lot of technology that we should be able to build nesting stations on or around these poles on government ground. You put poles up to help eagles + hawks to nest. Why cant we do the same for these.	1	30	Structure	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Structure Section in EIS; Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
98	5518	April 1, 2009	DAVE FREEMAN;T WILA FREEMAN	I would look at placing this line on public lands behind ridges, painting the towers a color to blend in with the environment.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Address in Alternatives Structure Section in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	Topography was considered in the siting process to screen or backdrop the proposed line. Idaho Power plans to use unpainted structures
24	5361	May 25, 2010	LORRAINE HUBBARD	Interpretive Center (but can go underground)	2	30	Structure	See Siting Study 3.4-6, Eastern Route	NA	Address in Underground Technology Section in EIS	
46	5401	November 5, 2009	TIM LILLEBO	Use existing corridors, adjacent to existing major corridors in the I-84 corridor — bury it under I-84 Lobby Federal Hiway Commission to allow lines adjacent to I-84 in median or adjacent to I-84. Unacceptable to use the public lands routes in Grant, Harney, Morrow and SW Baker counties.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address in Underground Technology Section in EIS	
76	5471	March 17, 2009	JERRY WHITAKER	Why not just put your lines underground, problem solved.	1	30	Structure	NA	NA	Address in Underground Technology Section in EIS	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
145	5661	March 18, 2010	MAX BREEDING	I do not understand why you can't run your lines up I84. Please put them underground.	3	30	Structure	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, oppose Western Route, support Eastern Route	NA	Address in Underground Technology Section in EIS	
195	5747	March 29, 2009	RICH DANIELS	Regarding the transmission lines through Baker Valley. Bury the line in the area where people are objecting. The cost difference isn't significant and would likely smooth out the objections so that you can get the job done.	1	30	Structure	NA	NA	Address in Underground Technology Section in EIS	
228	5786	May 25, 2010	MARTIN AND CATHERINE MORROW	If this 500kV line had to be built, the only reasonable route is along I-84. A buried line near the Oregon Trail Interpretive area, or whatever mitigation is necessary to make this route acceptable to Baker County residents. The only reasonable route is one that is already disturbed and established, the I-84 corridor!	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address in Underground Technology Section in EIS	
232	5795	May 25, 2010	JAMES G SHELLEY	Eastern alternative route...interpretive Center but can't a mile or two of underground line address this problem	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address in Underground Technology Section in EIS	
276	5876	March 22, 2010	J.C. OLIVER	Grant County - Western Route... Following the original I84 corridor is a more logical and financial advantageous route to go... In the areas of concern I would suggest putting the line under ground around short areas of concern. (One to two miles stretches)	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route; supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address in Underground Technology Section in EIS	
336	5988	March 24, 2010	ROBERT STEWART	WESTERN...would recommend that Idaho Power take another look at the new underground powerline technology that is now available. The new technology reduces the required right of way down to 25 feet instead of 250ft. This would also remove the visual objections to many on the eastern route.	6	30	Structure	NA	NA	Address in Underground Technology Section in EIS	
429	6129	August 13, 2009	TOM DIMOND	Keep it underground or don't do it at all.	2	30	Structure	NA	NA	Address in Underground Technology Section in EIS	
468	6177	October 21, 2009	RUTH MOORE	Can this line be buried?	1	30	Structure	NA	NA	Address in Underground Technology Section in EIS	
2	5280	March 3, 2010	DANIELLE MCNAIR	The further west you go, the less people are impacted.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
29	5370	March 3, 2010	ALLISON VALERIO	We did not get enough data concerning the reasons certain routes were removed. However, the farthest route to the West GR3 to GR4 East to HA1 - has the least amount of exclusion or high permitting difficulty areas. It also travels in territory that has an existing 500 kV line	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.9-1, Segment GR3-GR4-HA1	3.3.9 Southwest Region	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
31	5373	November 19, 2009	KAREN COULTER	Keep it along I-84 in highway corridor.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
72	5456	March 24, 2010	ROBERT D LYNCH	I believe the western route from Idaho toward Burns and angles northwest to run southwest of Strawberry Mtn. Wilderness then north avoiding the John Day Fossil Beds is the route that should be chosen.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Western Route; opposes Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
87	5493	September 16, 2009	JOHN B MILBERT	Takes advantage of several existing corridors.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C18	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	Comment in favor of route C18 listing several advantages. C18 (a western route) was considered but eliminated from further evaluation as a result of the CAP. See Siting Report Section 3.0.
110	5557	September 30, 2009	ROD PRICE	Treasure Valley loop... instead of building 2 lines, why not start that process on the east leg of that loop.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, support CAP Route S13 to S6, C9 to S23	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
111	5557	September 30, 2009	ROD PRICE	follow the west-wide energy corridor and then branch north and avoid EFU ground in Oregon.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, support CAP Route S13 to S6, C9 to S23	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	Comment supporting a western alternate identified in CAP. This alternative route was considered in the CAP and eliminated from further consideration.
112	5560	September 30, 2009	MATTHEW EICHER	follows existing utility corridor.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C9-S19-S20-S21; C9-S23; S13; S6	3 Siting	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
117	5587	September 15, 2009	ANITA WEST	utilizing existing utility corridors... These routes will not impact Baker County negatively. They won't... impact valuable EFU properties, view sheds, sage grouse leks, historical landmarks.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C9, C6	3 Siting	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
119	5592	September 15, 2009	SUSAN BUSCH	most direct route from Boardman to Hemingway is C6C9 avoids populated areas – ag lands & exclusion areas	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C9, C6	2 Approach to Siting	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
122	5606	August 13, 2009	ANONYMOUS	Use the existing Buchanan route, then North to Boardman	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, support Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
130	5629	September 16, 2009	M ELAINE HAGBERG	it follows a traditional transportation corridor but avoids most of the constraints listed (community, natural, etc). The farmland so on the west side of I-84 is class II (should be "Low avoidance" and not moderate – no mitigation). A route through western Idaho to Lewiston, ID and west to connect to Mid C Hub should be considered."	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C6, C9, C3	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
154	5679	December 17, 2009	TOM DIMOND	Central...Stay in the least populated areas and southern sage country. South of Seneca.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C9	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
259	5845	May 25, 2010	CLAUDE BAKER	If, God forbid, the western rout is chosen I suggest a minor change in the John Day River Crossing. Select the Western Crossing & than connect to the suggest route south of John Day Valley rather than following John Day Valley as the eastern crossing does.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
269	5864	May 25, 2010	STEVE GAST	If there is to be a route through Grant County, it should not trend southwesterly through John Day Valley and the northern slopes of the Aldrich Mountains. Take the straight across route B (GR3-GR4-GR5) and take a route straight across north of Seneca to join route D. This would have the least consequences on the John Day Valley itself.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.9-1 Southwest Region	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
292	5901	September 30, 2009	GARY L ROWHER	Boardman to Burns on existing 500 kv from Forest Service roads to John Day Hwy 26	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C9	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
315	5946	December 8, 2009	GARY L ROWHER	Route on south of PPL in Idaho across Malheur, into Harney through Grant. This route is the best option.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
331	5982	March 26, 2010	JUSTIN DEJAGER	WESTERN...The part of the plan (the south route through Grant County) that I oppose the most is that when you get in to the John Day Valley you run parallel to the John Day River. I think it is amazing that your team thinks that the power line and its towers an be "hidden by terrain." The John Day valley is one of the most scenic drives in the state and seeing your power lines for twenty miles in front of the Aldridge mountains would be a crime. If you decide to use the route that goes through Grant County please think about running the line straight across the valley. When you leave Bear Valley and cross the Aldrige Mountains just continue heading North past Mt Vernon (it goes along another lower voltage line), instead of heading West. The faster that you get across Highway 26 and the faster you get away from scenic sight lines the better	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
365	6042	August 25, 2009	JON WATSON	1) use the buchanan route through Harney County after following the Pacific Corp. right of way from Hemmingway 2) Follow Pacific Corp r. o-way from Hemingway to grassy meadows. Then proceed north to Baker County using least amount of EFU farmground through Baker County Cross I-84 hea East of Interpitise Cewter through Union, umitila and morrow county in the least invasive route.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Western Route; Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
443	6144	August 26, 2009	ROBBIN ANDERSON	Why not follow existing routes with a 230 kV line and work on gas fired generators closer to the projected need areas (Boise)? If necessary put lines thru less populated areas such as Grant County, Malheur & Harney.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Western Route	1.2 Project overview and 3.4.1 Western Route	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	Gas fired generators do not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
459	6163	July 29, 2009	VIVIAN M ZIKMUND	Avoid - Baker County. Avoid - Durkee Valley. Avoid - Residential. Take the route through Buchanan.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Western Route	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
463	6168	July 29, 2009	JOHN B MILBERT	Add the Buchanan Route as a legitimate "placement opportunity"	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, support Western Route	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type <i>Routing, Structure, Energy, General</i>	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category		Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
495	6263	June 4, 2009	JOHN B MILBERT	Use the existing corridor west through Malheur & Harney counties, then north through Grant & Morrow counties.	7	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS	
104	5531	September 30, 2009	GARY PEARSON	Follow existing corridors as much as possible	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S18-S13-S6-S19-S20-S21 S13 S13 to S6S 19 S19 to S20 S19 to S21 C9 to S23	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS; Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	Existing energy, utility and highway corridors have been designated as opportunities since routing studies began. Where reasonable considering the full range of environmental constraints existing corridors have been included as part of the Proposed and Alternate routes
353	6027	August 12, 2009	TERRY GIRT	If nessary put lines thru less populated areas such as Grant County, Malheur & Harney.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS; Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	Many alternates have been considered that go through Grant, Malheur and Harney counties. Most were eliminated in the CAP siting process as described in the Siting Report.
446	6146	August 26, 2009	MICHELLE REDDING	Stick with Federal corridors. Follow the PP&L line from Hemingway West and where an acceptable route can be found head north to Boardman. I would think a route along these lines would include an large amount of public property.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Western Route	2 Approach to Siting and 3.4 Alternative routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS; Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
482	6241	September 30, 2009	RICK MENDIVE	I want it to bypass EFU land in Oregon or Idaho.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, support CAP Route C6, S19, C9, S96; oppose S17, S18	3 Siting	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS; Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
85	5492	September 16, 2009	KRISTEN WARES	looking at low impact factor of hugging freeway on the west of I-84 to avoid airport and environmental impacts ODFW categories 1 & 2 east of freeway	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C6, C9, C3, C11	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS; Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Routes in Glass Hill Area	<u>Moving the existing 230 kV line and locating the proposed 500 kV line in its place was considered but eliminated from futher consideration in the CAP. See Siting Report section _____.</u>
107	5545	September 30, 2009	JAMES O STEPHEN	Use public land as much as possible – stay away from private farmland and people’s residences.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, support CAP Routes S18-21, S23, S13, S6	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	Farmland has been a constraint throughout the siting studies; A minimum setback of 300ft from occupied residences has been applied. However, efforts were made to maximize distance from occupied residences where possible.
225	5782	August 27, 2009	KEITH G SPIERS	suggestions for siting the transmission line? Route it on buruea of reclamation or federal land + forst service land. Stay off of any efu ground. You could go due west from Hemmingway + north almost straight to Boardman on almost totally Federal ground.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, support Western Route	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
181	5720	March 21, 2010	EVELYN SAYERS	The one that went farthest east around the Interpretive Center (but was on Sage Grouse) looks best to me if it could be made to work.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, support for Western Route; Virtue Flat Alternative	3.4 Alternative Routes, 3.3.8 Interpretive Center Region	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS; Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	
257	5837	March 9, 2010	ROGER FINDLEY	Central alternative route... Go east (way east) of Interpretive Center.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Address Western Alternative Route as CBE in EIS; Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	
4	5320	July 14, 2010	ROBERT DALE MILLER	If the proposed route, or one of the identified alternate routes is selected, do you have a suggestion on how best to cross your property with the transmission line? Move the route north so it doesn't go through the timber.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, Glass Hill Alternative	NA	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Routes in Glass Hill Area	Parcel Number 4S37E00401
6	5322	September 20, 2010	DR KAREN ANTELL	EOU strongly prefers the new "alternative route" that shifts the line to the south of the Rebarrow property.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, Glass Hill Alternative	NA	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Routes in Glass Hill Area	
69	5444	August 13, 2009	ANONYMOUS	Through unpopulated areas not visible from La Grande.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, Glass Hill Alternative	NA	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Routes in Glass Hill Area	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
131	5636	September 15, 2009	DAN WEITZ	Public safety, access, taxpayer protection (don't want taxpayers to foot the bill to protect infrastructure. Want further discussion in regard to protecting infrastructure.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes C11 and C17	3 Siting	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Routes in Glass Hill Area	
476	6212	July 14, 2010	DOUG BEAN;WARREN BEAN	Map # 4 and 5 Parcel number(s) 03S37E03400, 03SE37E02400, 03S37E00500 Has suggested alternate route - go straighter, follow existing Bonneville Power ROW	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, Glass Hill Alternative	4 Proposed and Alternative Routes	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Routes in Glass Hill Area	See Scoping Comment Letter 5228, Figure 5228
140	5651	March 8, 2010	CHET PHILLIPS	Everyone would benefit from Idaho Power and PGE coordinating the location of their transmission lines and substations.	1	30	Routing	NA	NA	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Bombing Range Routes resulting from Landowner Meetings in Detail in EIS	IPC currently working with PGE and Morrow County.
141	5651	March 8, 2010	CHET PHILLIPS	If Idaho Power receives an easement from the Navy to access bombing range property at the northern end, they (Idaho Power) should ask for 1000 feet. The additional feet could be used for future transmission lines. Idaho Power should place the B2H line to the southern most part of the easement. This energy corridor would run from M02 to M05.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.1-1 Boardman Region, Segment M02-M05	3.3.1 Boardman Region	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Bombing Range Routes resulting from Landowner Meetings in Detail in EIS	Idaho Power has been working with the Department of Defense with regard to locating the line within the northern boundary of the Bombing Range so as to not affect irrigated agricultural practices occurring along the northern side of the boundary. The Navy has consistently advised that this is not possible. As a result Idaho Power's Proposed Route follows the Southern Alternate south of the Bombing Range.
142	5651	March 8, 2010	CHET PHILLIPS	Another energy corridor to consider is to enter Boardman from the south going from M020 to M013 to MOB to MOe to M01. Then PGE could connect up to the B2H line at M020 by running their line parallel to the tree farm along M04 to M020. By doing this, there would still be only one line entering Boardman serving both utility's needs.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N26	2 Approach to Siting; 3.3.1 Boardman Region	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Bombing Range Routes resulting from Landowner Meetings in Detail in EIS	The Navy has consistently advised that this is not possible. As a result Idaho Power's Proposed Route follows the Southern Alternate south of the Bombing Range.
151	5672	September 23, 2009	ANONYMOUS	Northern alternative. Could take various routes but basically would travel across the northern edge of the bombing range	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes N32	3.3.1 Boardman Region	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Bombing Range Routes resulting from Landowner Meetings in Detail in EIS	Routes across the northern edge of the bombing range were studied during CAP and at one time were Part of Proposed Route. Idaho Power has been working with the Department of Defense with regard to locating the line within the northern boundary of the Bombing Range so as to not affect irrigated agricultural practices occurring along the northern side of the boundary.
234	5798	May 25, 2010	KARL D SMITH	From Coyote Springs power plant, the line should run southeast to the east side of the Bombing Range, then south to the southern edge of the Navy bombing range. This would be a very good location for a regional power substation. Then the line should run east (towards Idaho) and west to come around to the Carty Coal Fire plant, and on west to Salem.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N26	3.3.1 Boardman Region	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Bombing Range Routes resulting from Landowner Meetings in Detail in EIS	Potential for PGE to review route along eastern edge of Bombing Range for CX Project.
261	5851	February 10, 2010	GERRAL DAVID	a proposed route at the southern boundary of NWSTF Boardman would have the least potential to impact Navy operations.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, Bombing Range South Alternative	3.3.1 Boardman Region	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Bombing Range Routes resulting from Landowner Meetings in Detail in EIS	IPC's 12-6 Proposed Route is south of the Bombing Range
323	5971	August 26, 2009	HERBERT C MITCHELL;MICHAEL RUNYON	Site south of Boardman to minimize impact on Navy operations and our existing + proposed air space	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, Bombing Range South Alternative	3.3.1 Boardman Region	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Bombing Range Routes resulting from Landowner Meetings in Detail in EIS	IPC's 12-6 Proposed Route is south of the Bombing Range
474	6210	July 22, 2010	GLENN CHOWNING	Stay south of the freeway	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Appendix E, Maps 4-5, Proposed Route vicinity of MP 19-22	4.1 Proposed Route Description by County	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Bombing Range Routes resulting from Landowner Meetings in Detail in EIS	IPC's 12-6 Proposed Route is south of the Bombing Range

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
477	6216	June 26, 2010	BOB LEVY	I would encourage your organization to fully explore the southern route, modification to the southern route and mitigation opportunities for squirrel habitat.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, support Bombing Range South Alternative	3.3.1 Boardman Region	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Bombing Range Routes resulting from Landowner Meetings in Detail in EIS	
483	6243	May 29, 2009	DON RICE	Cross the south edge of the navy bombing range - use shortest route when possible -locate on public property -avoid residential property, developed areas, irrigated agriculture, or mitigate environmental areas	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, Bombing Range South Alternative	3.3.1 Boardman Region	Analyze Optimized Proposed and Alternative Bombing Range Routes resulting from Landowner Meetings in Detail in EIS	IPC's 12-6 Proposed Route is south of the Bombing Range
1	118	August 25, 2009	DENNIS CAIN	The pathway for the transmission lines should be on public land not on private land.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
16	5354	March 9, 2010	JEFF JOHNSON	I favor increased usage of public land.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
17	5355	March 3, 2010	GARTH JOHNSON	The route alternative C6 with some adjustments especially in the Dale-Ukiah area should be reconsidered. I realize that routes that travel through extensive areas of national forest may require some creative mitigation but this transmission line is to benefit the general public. Therefore the line should be placed across public lands wherever possible. Also splitting the lines of transmission rather than grouping them together protects the power sources from the dangers of natural disasters and terrorist activities.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C6	Approach to Siting and 3.4 Alternative Routes	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
20	5359	March 9, 2010	SHELBY J HILLIARD	public use public land...The central route is the best route for use when using existing corridor.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study 3.4-6, Central Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
28	5369	March 9, 2010	DICK SYMMS	Projects for the public good should be constructed as much as possible on Govt land	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
30	5372	March 23, 2009	JOHNNY KLETKE	The option which I support is the 500,000 volt line to run straight down on BLM land not on private land which would eliminate the aforementioned problems. All support of the BLM route will be appreciated.	6	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
92	5512	September 27, 2009	CASSIE PETERSON; MICHAEL N PETERSON	I believe it should be put on public land, B.L.M. land, out where it will not, take the beauty of the area, with the power line towers raising up in the skyline.	2	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
96	5515	December 8, 2009	DAN SYMMS	Make every effort to avoid private property. Projects for public good should be on public land.	1	30	General	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, oppose CAP Routes S7, S17, S18	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
99	5524	September 27, 2009	ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS	these transmission lines should be placed in Idaho on public lands. If not they should primarily be placed on public lands in Oregon.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
100	5525	September 27, 2009	HOWARD WATERMAN	siting it should be built on public land	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
106	5544	September 30, 2009	GERALDINE STEPHEN	Stay on public land where possible.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, support CAP Routes S18-21, S23, S13, S6	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
121	5605	March 25, 2010	JAMES M. MOORE	A public utility line should be constructed on public land regardless of the time involved in going through the permitting process.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
146	5662	August 26, 2009	KAREN THEE	Should be on BLM land as there power lines are "supposedly" needed for the public good. Should be built south and west of town of Marsing where current smaller power lines runs.	2	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
157	5689	March 20, 2009	DICK FLEMING	The line should be located on public land as much as possible since it is a public project.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
160	5690	March 20, 2009	MICHAEL R. HAMBY	place this line on BLM ground where it would be much less intrusive to the residents of Ten Davis and Canyon County.	2	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
161	5692	March 23, 2009	GERALD HAMBY	it benefits the general public it should be on public land and not on valuables farm properties.	2	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
162	5698	August 25, 2009	JANET JONES	Use the public land.	2	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
163	5700	August 25, 2009	UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO	Look + work to put lines through public lands Do your studies + Do it.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
164	5700	August 25, 2009	UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO	Place your Transmission Line on Public Property. Between Boardman Ore + Melba Id you can get 90% of your line on Public Lands - You can easily go around Ontario, Nyssa, Parma, Homedale + Marsing.	2	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
165	5701	August 25, 2009	ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS	Stay off Farmland. Keep on mostly public land. I think you could use the federal corridor from Hemingway west into Oregon. Staying on south side of existing lines & going north to boardman when possible	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	The proposed route into Oregon follows the southside of an existing 500 kV line , which is also a WWE Corridor into Oregon.
166	5702	August 25, 2009	ORVILLE GROVES	object to running the power lines across public land we are land owners in the Parma ID. area and crossing our land would would be disastras to our farming operation. Aug. 25. 2009 Orville Groves	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	Routes in Idaho east of the Snake River were eliminated in the CAP.
167	5703	August 25, 2009	ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS	It is critical that transmission routes be directed through public lands wherever feasible. Crossing private grounds or lands should only be done as a last resort.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
168	5704	August 25, 2009	ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS	It should be on public land as much as possible.	2	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
169	5705	August 26, 2009	INEZ JACA	Keep the line off Private Property Keep the line South of PPL.	2	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
171	5707	August 26, 2009	MICHELLE REDDING	South of the existing power line (owyhee county). Public utility, public ground.	2	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
183	5722	September 30, 2009	JOHN DAVIS	Idaho route... on land that is not used for farming or being lived on...It should be kept on BLM not on land where land prices would be effected.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C9-S23; C9-S19; S13-S6	3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
184	5723	September 30, 2009	KAY L DAVIS	save our farmland and protect ourselves and our children. The routes I propose puts the line on public land where families don't live	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C9-S23; C9-S19; S13-S6	3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
185	5724	September 30, 2009	LARRY J DAVIS	Put it on government land where you don't destroy people's lives!	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C9-S23; C9-S19; S13-S6	3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
186	5725	September 30, 2009	KATHY CLARICH	Needed routes that avoid the valuable farm ground need to go through public lands as much as possible.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S13 to S25 to C13, S13 to S6, C9 to S19 to S21 to C24 to C6, C9 to S29 to C9	3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	.Valuable farmland has been a constraint throughout the siting process.
188	5731	March 24, 2009	BILL GOTSCH;PAT GOTSCH	This line should without a doubt be routed through public land.	2	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
191	5742	November 19, 2009	DAN KEHR	Should use state/federal lands & not private due to devaluation of property.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
194	5745	November 19, 2009	ROBERT STEWART	If I were to choose a route it would boarder the I-84 corridor but go along the west side of the valley going more on public lands staying off private lands	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S96, S29 and S23. S107	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
197	5750	March 25, 2009	DELL JEMMETT;DO NNA JEMMETT	Please put the lines for public use on public lands where they belong, not jeopardizing our families lives	2	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
208	5765	August 27, 2009	JEAN EILEEN BARBER;CAR OLYN EDWARDS;M ARTIN JACA	If it is for public good, then it should be on public ground.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
210	5767	May 5, 2010	DICK FLEMING	This is a public line and should be on public land.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
212	5768	March 30, 2009	JEFF BAROLI	it needs to be put on PUBLIC land because it benefits the PUBLIC.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
223	5778	March 19, 2009	CHARLES GOULD;JUDY GOULD	I'm having a hard time understanding why Idaho Power is insisting on placing public utility lines on prime farm land instead of using the already approved energy corridors on public land.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
224	5780	March 27, 2009	LEONARD WEBER;BON NIE WEBER	As landowners and Idaho Power customers we oppose this project being placed on private land. Public land should be used for public utilities.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
235	5799	August 25, 2009	HERBERT RUETH;KATH LEEN RUETH	What are your suggestions for siting the transmission line?... I would like to see all or most on Public Land.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
236	5801	August 25, 2009	JANALYN GRAMBO	Please go through less populated public lands.	3	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
238	5809	January 4, 2010	ANONYMOUS	Line placement should be on public land where ever possible.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
251	5828	November 19, 2009	FRANK SILVA	Stay up on government property.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
258	5842	March 9, 2010	JAY CHAMBERLIN	It makes much better sense to follow an existing energy corridor across federal land.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
260	5848	March 9, 2010	BETTY LEE CLARICH	Public us on public land.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
282	5892	January 21, 2010	DAN SYMMS	Projects like this for the public good should be built on govt. land.	3	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
284	5894	January 21, 2010	BETTY LEE CLARICH	For the good of the people put the transmission line on BLM land! The preferred line should be outside of Idaho.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
287	5896	May 21, 2009	GRANT KITAMURA	What are your suggestions for siting the transmission line? Public Land (BLM)	3	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
288	5897	May 29, 2009	KARL D SMITH	Route through federal and state land	3	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
294	5905	July 21, 2010	LA VELLE HOEFT	Why can't this be put on less productive land as we were told a year ago?? Its for the public and should be on public land.	5	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
295	5907	September 30, 2009	BETTY THOMAS;ELV IS THOMAS	public land in Oregon	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S23, C9, S19	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
296	5907	September 30, 2009	BETTY THOMAS;ELV IS THOMAS	Keep as much in Idaho as possible	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S18-S13	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
303	5914	September 30, 2009	NANCY L SCOTT	Avoid private property, farm ground Use public land because the public benefits from it, not a few individuals.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S13, S6; C9,S18,S19, S20, S21,23	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
305	5918	September 30, 2009	JEAN EILEEN BARBER;JOE WHITE;GEOR GE L WHITE JR	all acceptable It keeps it all on federal land.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes C9,S19,S20, S21; S7	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
307	5923	September 30, 2009	ALICE HANSEN-URE	Needs to stay on BLM lands or public lands as much as possible. If it is for the people then it should be on public lands or BLM lands.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S23,C9, S18, S13,S19, S21	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
313	5943	May 29, 2009	DENNIS MYHRUM	the powerline should be sited on public land so the impacts are shared by everyone instead of impacting individual private landowners. Routing the line through Harney and/or Grant counties where there are more public lands would be a preferred route.	3	30	Routing	Supports aestern alternative	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
314	5946	December 8, 2009	GARY L ROWHER	If for public good, put on public land	1	30	Routing	Drop CAP Routes S7, S17 and S18	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
319	5955	December 8, 2009	CAROL HARTMAN	keep the power line off private land.	3	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
320	5956	December 8, 2009	MICHAEL R. HAMBY	I believe there are several good routes through public land	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes S9, S19 and opposes S7,S17, S18	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
327	5973	August 26, 2009	WES ANDERSON	Try to stay on public land. At least the Right of way would give a little wood to our mills	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
341	5997	May 8, 2009	B. FRED LYONS	Canyon County. We want to go on record that we are opposed to running these lines through private property. There is plenty of public land available that can be utilized.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
342	5998	March 23, 2009	MARIA WEBER	It seems to me the original proposed route goes out of its way to be on private land and even further out of the way to detour to the Sand Hollow substation. A much more efficient route would be to stay to the west on public land where it should be	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	The Sand Hollow Substation is no longer part of the Boardman to Hemingway project.
359	6036	August 25, 2009	NANCY ANTHONY	Put it on public land as much as possible -- away from human development.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
360	6038	August 25, 2009	CLAYTON WHEELER;DENISE WHEELER	Use public land for public utilities. Stay off private land. Private land is too easy for Idaho Power and des not account for human cost.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
361	6039	January 13, 2010	DELL JEMMETT;DONNA JEMMETT	Put them on public lands since they are for public use.	4	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
362	6040	August 25, 2009	RONALD SMITH;MARGARET SMITH	Put the line completely on BLM + Forest Service property...Go thru the process to get it situated on public lands, away from towns + valuable farm ground.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
364	6042	August 25, 2009	JON WATSON	That the time will be taken to site this line podominally on Public ground with the least amount of Private ground. I have concoius that BLM + USFS have not been willing to establish Federal Corridors in eviromentiy sound routes. As mawduted in the federal energy Act of 2005. This line belongs predominaly on Public ground.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
366	6045	September 27, 2009	DELBERT STAFFORD	Put the line on BLM, USFS, or state land away from all farm land.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
368	6046	September 27, 2009	MARSHA A SPIERS	Use the public land for the public good. Leave our struggling farmers & ranches alone.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
369	6048	September 27, 2009	STEVE BASIL SMITH	Use as much as possible public lands. The amount of recriation that it will affect is a lot less than putting it through our private lands.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
376	6059	September 27, 2009	WARREN KELLER	Routing on public land - for public good. Avoid private land.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
380	6064	September 27, 2009	MATTHEW EICHER	It should be on public land!	5	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
383	6066	August 25, 2009	JEFF JOHNSON	What are your suggestions for siting the transmission line? Public land is the best place for this project.	5	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
386	6069	August 25, 2009	STEVEN P. THAYN	I would like the lines to be on public as much as possible; not private land.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
393	6074	August 25, 2009	CRAIG TELFORD	Put the transmission line on public property wherever possible.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
394	6075	August 25, 2009	THOMAS J. SMITH	there is plenty of BLM & Forest service Land not being used for anything that this line could pass through & not bother many private citizens. Leave our farm land & development land alone!!	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
398	6079	August 25, 2009	GERALD RUSSELL	Put the line on public land as much as can be. If its for the public it should be as much on public land as posible.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
399	6081	August 25, 2009	RAYMOND M RUETH	My suggestions would be to keep this transmission line predominatly on public lands and national forests. If it is good for the public keep it predominatly on public land.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
403	6089	August 25, 2009	JOE OJEDA	What are your suggestions for siting the transmission line? Use public land. - BLM - Ground - only.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
404	6090	August 25, 2009	HELEN NOE;JENETTE NOE	Whenever possible the lines should be placed on public lands, uninhabited by citizens and their private property.	4	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
406	6095	August 25, 2009	DOMINIC IADEROSA	What are your suggestions for siting the transmission line? I would highly recommend that most of it be on public land - and not private land-	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
408	6096	August 25, 2009	THERESA HULBERT	What are your suggestions for siting the transmission line? Use public lands rather than private lands.	4	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
410	6099	September 27, 2009	KAREN WIGGINS	If it is for the Public Good then put it on Public Ground!!!	3	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
412	6102	August 25, 2009	MICHAEL R. HAMBY	Site the line predominately on public land. There isn't a good reason to run that line through the middle of private property in Canyon County.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting and 3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
413	6103	August 25, 2009	PAT GOTSCH	But as a source of electricity for the entire state & as asset for creating revenue for Idaho Power it should be located on public lands - A public corridor is a feasible route as opposed to taking private farm ground out of commission. Once again, it should be concentrated on public lands - establish a public corridor which would also be there for future ---	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
414	6106	August 25, 2009	ONEY EGUIA	This line should be placed on Public land and the minimal usage of private land.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
421	6117	August 25, 2009	LARRY CARDINALE;PAM CARDINALE	There are BLM lands that are primarily uninhabited & are mainly sage & desert. These would be preferable to crossing private land & prime farmland.	5	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
424	6121	September 27, 2009	KATRINA TRENKEL	Site it primarily on government owned land. Not private land.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
435	6133	August 13, 2009	BYRON ROVEY	Keep line on Public land as much as possible. If this line is for the good of the public then it should be on public land.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
445	6146	August 26, 2009	MICHELLE REDDING	I would like the line to stay on public property predominantly and only on private property when absolutely necessary.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
447	6147	August 13, 2009	MATT FRANKLIN;HAROLD FRANKLIN;WENDY G FRANKLIN	Shift at least half that line placement to public and BLM lands. Taxpayers already pay for that. Private land owners would then pay taxes on that and on their own.	5	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
450	6150	August 13, 2009	RC SWANSON;PAT TAKASUGI	The major portion of the transmission line should be on public lands (BLM & USFS) and at 2 minimum on private property.	5	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
451	6152	August 13, 2010	DAVID R SKEEN	Mostly over public forest - not private.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
453	6154	October 21, 2009	PAT HOLLIDAY;KEN HOLLIDAY	Whenever possible the lines should be placed on public lands, uninhabited by citizens and their private property.	4	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
480	6234	September 30, 2009	HARLEN GARNER	Put it on public land, off private property	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
487	6257	May 21, 2009	MATTHEW EICHER	What are your concerns about siting the Boardman to Hemingway transmission line?...Need to locate on public ground	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
491	6260	June 4, 2009	ELAINE HAGBERG	Put this transmission line on Public Property since its primary benefit is for Idaho and the nation as a whole.	8	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
492	6262	June 4, 2009	JOCHEN W HAGBERG	What are your concerns about siting the Boardman to Hemingway transmission line?...Not enough use of public land. - If it's for the public good - use public land!	7	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
497	6265	September 30, 2009	JERRY GYLLENSKOG	I feel the general route should stay on public land as much as possible	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
499	62030	August 26, 2009	LIN MITCHELL	Idaho Power needs to use public lands instead of private lands for their route.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	
460	6164	August 13, 2009	CAROLYN EDWARDS;GL EN MCGUIRE	To keep this away from the towns and outlying areas. Keep as much as possible on the public lands and remote country side.	3	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation; Address in Alternatives Methodology Section in EIS	
12	5347	March 9, 2010	RICK MENDIVE	We would like to see the Baker alternate be pushed further away from the Interpretive Center if at all possible	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, Virtue Flat Alternative	3.3.8 Interpretive Center Region	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	
80	5482	September 15, 2009	FRED WARNER JR	From Durkee to Baker – keep route to the east of existing power lines east of the freeway.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, support for CAP Route C8C, C8B(?), C41	3.3.8 Interpretive Center Region; See also 4.1.4 Segment 4-Baker County	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	See B2H website. Idaho Power > Community Advisory Process > Maps > Map Archive; Scroll down to heading "Initial Proposed Routes - Fall 2009"; Select 'Route C8C' and 'Route C8C Preliminary Evaluation'.
101	5526	May 24, 2010	RICHARD HAINES	if one shrank the OHV area boundary a little to free up a lek area or two, and then put the corridor through another lek or edge of lek with the least impact to that lek as possible...perhaps the net effect might be sustaining suitable lek habitat with no net reduction for ODFW, a continuing OHV area for recreation users, and the power line further east as noted by some citizens.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, Virtue Flat Alternative	3.3.8 Interpretive Center Region	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	
129	5616	September 16, 2009	MARK BENNETT	Baker – relocate 230 kV line to east, put 230kV close to rifle range. Put 500kV in existing 230kV ROW	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C8	3.3.8 Interpretive Center Region	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	
211	5767	May 5, 2010	DICK FLEMING	There is an alignment that has not been considered. I have drawn it on the attached map. I have called it the Low Visibility Alignment or the minimum Impact on Humans alignment. From Durkee to the junction of existing power lines about four miles north of the interpretive center, the line would be more than half on BLM land, and more than a mile from any home. It would be located where the visibility from the Oregon Trail Interpretive Center would be minimal.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.8-1 Interpretive Center Region	3.3.8 Interpretive Center Region	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	No Map Attached to CAP Letter; See also Scoping Letter 5023, Comment 3, 4 along with Figure 5023.
233	5797	May 25, 2010	LORI SMITH	Central alternative route...The topography allows you to hide parts of the transmission line in the valleys and trees	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	
245	5817	March 3, 2010	ANDREW STORER	If you decide to go through Virute Flat (Baker Alt. #2) I would appreciate seeing it centered evenly between the two homesteads on Virtue Flats...If Alt. #2 is decided on and it is moved west 1-2 miles from its present location (1.22 miles west of my home) that should mitigate loss of value to my property caused by proximity of power lines to my home.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, Virtue Flat Alternative	3.3.8 Interpretive Center Region	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	
247	5818	March 9, 2010	GARY PEARSON	It is way too close to the Oregon Trail Historical museum and site. Get it miles east of there somehow!!	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Eastern Route	3.3.8 Interpretive Center Region	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	
273	5868	March 22, 2010	RON ROWAN	the next best alternative in my opinion is going east of the Interpretive Center and avoiding the Baker Valley.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, Virtue Flat Alternative	3.3.8 Interpretive Center Region	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	
304	5916	July 20, 2010	DICK FLEMING	The route should be pushed east up Prichard Creek. This would minimize impact on people.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.8-1 Interpretive Center Region	3.3.8 Interpretive Center Region	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	See also Scoping Letter 5023, Comment 3, 4 along with Figure 5023.

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
464	6170	March 3, 2010	DORTHY WOOTERS	I propose a route to swing east somewhere between North Powder and BA18 on map - - - staying west of Medical Springs and Keating or east - (Maybe about where the 230 kV line now exists. It's hard to tell on your maps with no distinctive roads, topographic features, etc.) Cross extreme norther end of Virtue Flat from West to East, behind hills from views of Interpretive Center, then drop south to come back into near Pleasant Valley, perhaps somewhere near an existing 138 kV line. I've walked from the freeway I-84 side to the north end of Virtue Flat with no problem so I know IP could punch a line through there and with very few more miles, if any. I have enclosed one of your maps with generally proposed route in yellow and green. From you lek overlay maps, it appears there are several unoccupied leks or edges of buffer zones, you surely can negotiate through.	1	30	Routing	NA	NA	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	Map not attached. See Figure 6170, CAP Comment Letter Alternative 6170
475	6211	July 20, 2010	TERRIE BOETTCHER	Please, if it is the red route, move as far to the west as possible.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, oppose Virtue Flat Alternative	3.3.8 Interpretive Center Region	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS	See B2H website. Idaho Power > Community Advisory Process > Project Advisory Teams > Central Project Advisory Team > Fifth Meeting > CAP Routing Presentation (PDF, 2.7 MB) > Slide 56-60
230	5792	May 25, 2010	SARAH RUSS	Eastern alternative route...suggest reroute underground of 230 kv line to east side and put 500 kv on the west side (I-84)	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C8; See Siting Study Figure 3.3.8-1 Interpretive Center Region	3.3.8 Interpretive Center Region	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS; Address in Underground Technology Section in EIS	
89	5496	April 20, 2010	DICK FLEMING	I noticed the alignment is still mostly on private land even though the public lands were not claimed due to a lower perceived value on those lands when homesteading was allowed. Why are you insisting on running the power line on the more valuable land? Why are you insisting on running the power line in a highly visible location when less obtrusive locations are available.	2	30	Routing	NA	NA	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	See also Scoping Letter 5023, Comment 3, 4 along with Figure 5023.
116	5584	September 15, 2009	FRED WARNER SR	east of OT interpretive center and also impacting less private ground and farm ground	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C8B	3.3.8 Interpretive Center Region	Consider Optimized Virtue Flat/Interpretive Center Proposed and Alternative Routes in Detail in EIS; Consider developing a conceptual public land alternative from northern end of Blue Mountains south to Hemingway Substation.	See B2H website. Idaho Power > Community Advisory Process > Maps > Map Archive; Scroll down to heading "Initial Proposed Routes - Fall 2009"; Select 'Route C8B' and 'Route C8B Preliminary Evaluation'.
3	5281	March 3, 2010	MICHAEL MCALLISTER	Have the minimal environmental impact - esp. not invade, disrupt and fragment large areas of contiguous wild lands; Integrate with the existing network of human occupancy and infrastructure across the landscape; Blend into infrastructure and human viewscapes with a minimum of "undesirable" outcomes.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Eastern Route	2 Approach to Siting	No Further Action (NFA)	
9	5338	September 16, 2009	ELAINE HAGBERG	follows a traditional transportation corridor but avoids most of the constraints listed (community, natural, etc). The farmland so on the west side of I-84 is class II (should be "Low avoidance" and not moderate - no mitigation) This route can connect with Durkee & La Grande in some fashion.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, Route C3, C6, C9	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
10	5338	September 16, 2009	ELAINE HAGBERG	My final comment: A route through western Idaho to Lewiston, ID and west to connect to Mid C Hub should be considered.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes S25, C13	1.2 Project Overview, 3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
13	5350	May 25, 2010	LONNIE LAWRENCE	Eastern alternative route?... There's already a transmission line through there; Less national forest lands to cross and destroy; A utility corridor is already established through the few miles of national forest that would be crossed;	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, support Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
14	5351	March 3, 2010	DUNCAN MACKENZIE	Harney County would like to have the line and they have a line that goes through Wheeler County from Harney County marked on their maps already.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.9-1, Segment GR4-HA1-HA2-MA6	3.3.9 Southwest Region	NFA	
15	5352	May 25, 2010	ROD KUHN	Take your 500 kv line along the I84 route	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, support Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
18	5357	May 25, 2010	DARRELL HOWE	if this power is for IDAHO POWER then this line should be RUN THROUGH IDAHO!!! The line should take the shortest route from Boardman to the Idaho border, cross that border, and the people of Idaho should have to deal with the line running down their state, across their pristine land.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes S25, C13	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
19	5358	March 9, 2010	JERRY HOAGLAND	The proposed line from Hemingway to the Oregon border should stay on the south side of the existing Pacific Corp. line.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.14-1, Segment MA6-MA7-OW1-OW2	4 Proposed and Alternative Routes	NFA	
21	5360	May 25, 2010	JOE HUGHES	Western route – adjustment at Mt. Vernon: go north just to the west of Mt. Vernon, cross a piece of the Malheur west along north edge of Malheur, northwest to Court Rock. Construction costs from Hwy 26 to North of Malheur are similar to proposed route except the focus is full of roads in that area already, going west along north edge of Malheur relatively flat, easier to build. Advantages about same distance, easier to build, avoids scenic John Day Valley, fewer property owners.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study 3.4-6, opposes Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
22	5360	May 25, 2010	JOE HUGHES	What are your DISLIKES concerning the Western alternative route? It shouldn't run parallel to the river or valley. If it has to be built it needs to cross the river immediately and get out of the valley....there are not hills between the Aldrich's and the river to hide it behind. All those drainages run towards Hwy 26 and the hills are perpendicular to the valley.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study 3.4-6, opposes Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
25	5362	May 25, 2010	STEPHEN TIKTIN	Transmission line needs to follow a highway corridor or be adjacent to an already existing corridor such as I-84	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study 3.4-6, support Eastern Route	2 Approach to Siting	NFA	
32	5374	November 19, 2009	BRIAN COCHRAN	If I had to pick a route at this point – I-84 corridor.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
33	5375	March 25, 2009	A GRAMBO	Please consider moving the planned Sand hollow station to the public lands west of Adrian. The Sand hollow station creates a detour adding to your cost and affecting more people, their lands, and occupations.	1	30	Routing	NA	NA	NFA	The Sand Hollow Substation is no longer part of the Boardman to Hemingway project.
34	5379	November 5, 2009	JERRY FRANKLIN	Keep it along the I84 corridor where it belongs.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
35	5381	November 11, 2009	MIKE BOHANNON	Solar is a good way to fulfill this need.	3	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
36	5383	November 17, 2009	DAWN PENCE	However I also believe it should come with as little impact to the people no matter WHERE you live. There are already existing huge power lines which easements are already owned by you. Why aren't you using the same path.	1	30	General	NA	2 Approach to Siting	NFA	
38	5388	March 15, 2010	NELSON HECKMAN	I suggest the route be the shortest that will have the power line enter the service district that will benefit your customers then proceed thru that district to it's final destination, that way those who benefit will have the benefit of both the pro's and the con's that the power line will produce.	1	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	NFA	
40	5390	September 30, 2009	MATT HANSEN-URE	the future will need to sell power as well as Idaho Power due to wind, solar, geothermal being developed now. Ada County future power expansion is represented in line S13 – why not put it in now! We need not disturb but less than 1% of EFU land due to loss of water right. S21 to S19	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S23,C9, S13, S19, S21	1.2 Project Overview, 3.4 Alternative Routes, 3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	NFA	
41	5395	November 1, 2009	DANIEL HEROLD	The I-84 corridor is a much more appropriate routing as it already has substantial infrastructure and will have much less impact on our remaining wild and scenic areas.	7	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
42	5396	November 3, 2009	PAT HUGHES	Why not use the I84 corridor, as it is already developed?	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
43	5398	November 4, 2009	KEITH BALTZOR	suggestions for siting the transmission line... The original path desired by Idaho Power on the I.84 corridor.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
44	5400	March 19, 2010	FRED FITZGERALD	The I-84 corridor has already been desecrated by the existing corridor. Why ruin a beautiful, remote area with (B2H) when an existing corridor already exists?	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
45	5401	November 5, 2009	TIM LILLEBO	There are existing corridors and previously developed lands on the routes that generally follow I-84. - Lobby the Federal Highway Commission & put this impactful line next to the Freeway I-84 or do not build it. The costs are way too high to impact our remaining public lands with your new proposals that were not a part of your original proposal.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
47	5401	November 5, 2009	TIM LILLEBO	Invest the \$600,000,000 in renewables rather than build the powerline.	4	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
48	5402	November 19, 2009	PAM CALLAHAN	I like the I-84 route.... I feel the federal freeway corridor is oldest and has priority just like an airport about expansion. Very limited tree cutting, if any, would be a problem and definitely transportation issues are taken care of. Transportation for supplies, building and maintenance is taken care of. This would cause the least impact to wildlife, fish, forestry and habitat and housing.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
62	5432	September 30, 2009	JERRY HOAGLAND	And jog from Hemingway around private and cross Pacific Corp line and stay on south side from there to the Northwest to Oregon border.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes S19,S20	4.1 Proposed Route Description by County	NFA	
75	5468	March 19, 2009	SUZAN JONES	Through Malheur county by Malheur reservoir - that area has few people and its mainly summer range	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
82	5488	September 16, 2009	YOGI HAGBERG	traditional transportation corridor - existing lines- conscious of controlled airspace- behind hill - visibility screened by hill- Durkee area residents can choose route in their vicinity	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C3; Central Route	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
86	5492	September 16, 2009	KRISTEN WARES	It seems west of the freeway through Baker County has not been adequately surveyed, while it looks like there could be less impact to the west. West of the freeway also avoids a "direct impact" on the "view" of the Oregon Trail from the interpretive centers... The desert eco-systems are very fragile while there is potential to skirt farmland west of the freeway.	5	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C3	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	<u>Alternative routes west of I-84 were considered but eliminated from further consideration in the CAP. Issues included farmland, EFU, airport and approach zone and strong opposition from Baker County.</u>
90	5498	March 10, 2010	JIM SPROUL	there are much better areas with less economic and social impacts located to the east.	4	30	General	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, oppose Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	Comment supporting route to the east, which is Idaho Power's Proposed Route.
91	5511	September 27, 2009	ROGER FINDLEY;JEAN FINDLEY	6) We strongly encourage two routes be considered/analyzed in Idaho and two routes in Oregon, at the very least.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes	3 Siting	NFA	Numerous routes have been considered in both states; however, as a result of the CAP the Proposed and current alternatives are located predominantly in Oregon.
95	5514	January 13, 2010	THOMAS E BROWN	Routing the powerline through the Ash Grove Cement Plant would also improve the chances of another industry replacing the cement plant which is on the verge of closing because of the economy and mercury pollution. The community of Durkee depends on employment at the Ash Grove location and the powerline would improve the prospects.	5	30	Routing	See Siting Study Appendix E, Maps 33-34, west of Proposed Route MP 185	NA	NFA	
102	5527	May 25, 2010	STEVE COREY	the route of the transmission line generally should track the area's transportation corridors, along Interstate 84 north, from the Oregon border with Idaho, to the area of Kamela or Meacham, and then westerly.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.4-1 Pilot Rock Region, See Siting Study Figure 3.3.5-1 West of National Forest Utility Corridor Region	3.3.4 Pilot Rock Region, 3.3.5 West of National Forest Utility Corridor Region	NFA	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
103	5529	March 10, 2010	JIM HAMMETT; JIM HAMMETT	I am sure you are aware that the 2009 Record of Decision on energy corridors as required by Section 368 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 designated almost 230,500 acres of land in Oregon as energy transportation corridors. Not one of these acres is in Grant County. However, the multi-modal corridor 250-252 contains a large part of the route you are considering down the I-84 corridor... Seems to me you have a lot of direction and an obligation to use these established corridors, rather than take off on your own and try to establish new one in a relatively pristine environment like Grant County.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, oppose Western Route; support Eastern Route	2 Approach to Siting, 3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	The WWECs were considered a routing opportunity for all routing activities associated with the B2H Project. Currently the Eastern Corridor is Idaho Power's Proposed Route.
113	5572	September 15, 2009	EDWARD G NICHOLS	Avoid property. Stay on north side of I84.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C40	4.1 Proposed Route Description by County	NFA	
114	5576	September 16, 2009	DICK D'EWART	To avoid houses would like the transmission line to follow the fiber optic line.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C41	4.1 Proposed Route Description by County	NFA	
115	5581	January 19, 2010	JERRY COWGER	I strongly prefer a final alternative that utilizes the previously developed areas of the I-84 corridor as extensively as possible.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, support Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
123	5607	March 23, 2010	PAULA LANGENFELD	It doesn't benefit anyone in this county at all and I know there is another area you can put those ugly towers in. Like through the desert south of us or through the US Forest Service North of us. Please find a different area to put your power lines through.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
126	5614	September 23, 2009	GARY NEAL	route per landowners interests – some ok w/line, avoid those against	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N7	3.3.5 West of National Forest Utility Corridor Region	NFA	
127	5614	September 23, 2009	GARY NEAL	route south of Pilot Rock – avoid ag lands to north-avoid pivots, stay south of bombing range, around Nature Conservancy unless can work a deal w/them	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N7	3.3.5 West of National Forest Utility Corridor Region	NFA	
128	5615	January 18, 2010	MITSIE WILBURN	WESTERN...why aren't the Columbia Gorge and other better suited areas still being looked at	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
132	5637	September 23, 2009	RICHARD MELAAS	Use existing transportation/utility corridor along bombing range road / Bonneville power easement already provided by navy for utilities / transportation if necessary. I consider joint / co-use of existing Bonneville Power towers (west side of road) or improvement of existing power line on east side of bombing range road	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N26	3.3.1 Boardman Region	NFA	IPC currently working with PGE and Morrow County.
133	5637	September 23, 2009	RICHARD MELAAS	Use existing utility / transportation corridor along immigrant lane along south boundary of Boardman as much as possible if necessary	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N7, N28, N30	3.3.1 Boardman Region	NFA	IPC currently working with PGE and Morrow County.
134	5637	September 23, 2009	RICHARD MELAAS	To connect to utility corridors east of Boardman. Consider locating power lines within an easement to permit other co-use or transportation infrastructure (road) to be constructed within any newly acquired easement.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N26	2 Approach to Siting; 3.3.1 Boardman Region	NFA	IPC currently working with PGE and Morrow County.
135	5637	September 23, 2009	RICHARD MELAAS	Consider joint venture utility / transportation easement acquisition strategy with PGE, Morrow County (connector road from bombing range to immigrant lane) and Idaho Power.	4	30	General	NA	NA	NFA	IPC currently working with PGE and Morrow County.
136	5638	September 23, 2009	RICK YARDLEY	use govt lands as opposed to private land	1	30	General	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N6, N10	2 Approach to Siting; 3.3.1 Boardman Region	NFA	
137	5639	September 23, 2009	STEVE COREY	I worked with a neighbor and together we revised route N4 to address concerns we now are considering – entering Umatilla County on the USFS corridor, going west at Kamela/Spring Creek across to the north side of Indian Lake, going off the mountain along the county road (Rocky Ridge Road), staying south of Pilot Rock, staying south of the Cunningham Sheep HQs (West Birch Creek) and south of the Cunningham Sheep "Cattle" HQs (Butter Creek).	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N4	3.3.5 West of National Forest Utility Corridor Region	NFA	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
138	5639	September 23, 2009	STEVE COREY	take the line through our pastures near Alkali Canyon, north of Nye Junction and Winsome. We also would consider a re-focus of the USFS corridor, taking the new line to the Meacham area, then west on the county road from Meacham to McKay Creek, and then diagonally either west (just south of Pilot Rock), or northwest (just south of Pilot Rock), or northwest (just north of Pilot Rock) to Alkali Canyon referred to above.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N4	3.3.5 West of National Forest Utility Corridor Region	NFA	
139	5649	March 23, 2010	STEVE WALKER	This line should be routed through the I-84 corridor where it was originally proposed and where it belongs.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route; supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
143	5651	March 8, 2010	CHET PHILLIPS	Additionally, the southern end of the bombing range could serve for the future hub substation, at least somewhere in the M020 area.	4	30	Routing	NA	NA	NFA	
147	5664	September 23, 2009	ALAN M INSKO	Appears to be shortest route to connect the Forest Service utility corridor coming from Union County toward Boardman. This line skirts the foothills of the Blue Mountains which have the potential for wind development in many areas.-This route would provide potential "tie ins" for a wider number of different interests.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N8	3.3 Regional Analyses	NFA	
148	5665	September 23, 2009	J.R. COOK	push any potential NFO hub to the east of the urban centers of Hermiston, Echo, Stanfield and Umatilla and to the west of Pendleton and Milton-Freewater which is preferable.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route N8, N4, N12	NA	NFA	There is no record of a CAP Route N12; Unclear what commenter refers to as 'NFO Hub'.
149	5666	September 23, 2009	DAVID R DEMAYO	open country – wheat fields. Dry land wheat farms. 2) avoids the bombing range issue by going west and following the Columbia River Valley east (for ease of installation excellent!)	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes N4-N6	3.3.5 West of National Forest Utility Corridor Region	NFA	
150	5667	September 23, 2009	TAMRA MABBOTT	benefit of proximity to wind farms proposed.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes N4, N16, N8; C13 not feasible	3 Siting	NFA	There is no record of a CAP Route N12
152	5674	January 19, 2010	JIM BELLINGER	The route should follow the shortest route as identified maintenance cost for the next 100 years will be overwhelming of accessing the line. I-84 corridor suggest the best & most economical option, terrain is flatter & more cost effective to construct.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	The Navy has consistently advised that this is not possible.
153	5677	December 8, 2009	PAT TRENKEL	South...Analyze the routes east of Boise also.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S13	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
155	5680	December 17, 2009	VERNITA EDIGER	keep lines supplying Idaho are in IDAHO	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S25, C13	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	A number of routes were considered in Idaho, but except for the Proposed Route were eliminated from further consideration. See Siting Report Section 3, specifically sections 3.3.14 and 3.4.
170	5706	August 26, 2009	MARTIN JACA	Stay to the South of the PPL!	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 4-1, Owyhee River Below Dam Alternative	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	NFA	
172	5708	March 22, 2010	GREG SCHMIDT	It seems to me the most appropriate route would be Baker Alt 1 or Baker Alt 2 due to better construction access and probably lower costs to construct.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
173	5710	March 23, 2010	DENNIS BRADLEY	The City of Mt. Vernon strongly encourages Idaho Power Company to consider its options and select the Eastern route which least effects our natural resources.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
174	5717	November 4, 2009	TOM SHARP	Routing along I-84 corridor seems to be the more cost effective, permissible alternative.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
175	5717	November 4, 2009	TOM SHARP	If routed thru Harney County strategic benefit would be accessibility to southeast Oregon wind energy developments.	2	30	General	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Western Route	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	
177	5718	November 4, 2009	JACK SOUTHWORTH	I-84 route w/some variations.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category		Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below		
178	5718	November 4, 2009	JACK SOUTHWORTH	W of Treasure Valley & E of National Forests in OR.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	the Proposed Route is west of the Treasure Valley and east of the National Forests.
180	5719	March 20, 2010	MARK SYMONDS	(Western)...I am totally against the proposed line being placed in this area and believe it should be located along another pathway such as the central or eastern route. Such routes would appear to have less effect on the number of acres of pristine national forest lands that currently exist in this region.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, oppose Western Routes, supports Central/Eastern Routes	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
182	5721	October 22, 2009	KEITH BALTZOR	The original I-84 route makes more sense than any of the others for the following reasons 1) Least cost prohibitive 2) Infrastructure already in place in close proximity (roads, services etc)	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
190	5739	November 4, 2009	JOHN CLEMENS	The straightest line from point A to B with the minimal amount of enviromental impact. The corridor thru the forest that is already in place is a huge issue and impacts will be minimal to the forest.	2	30	General	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	1. Length is always a consideration in selecting a preferred route; however the least amount of environmental impact is more preferable. 2. The proposed route does use the utility corridor through the national forest.
192	5742	November 19, 2009	DAN KEHR	Use I-84 route which has access & not deface & devalue further property – both private and public.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
193	5744	November 19, 2009	LEON SKILES	Most direct route with the least impact up the I-84 corridor. Use existing energy corridors	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
196	5749	November 19, 2009	TIM LILLEBO	I-84 corridor – easily accessible for construction and maintenance	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
199	5754	November 19, 2009	EVA HARRIS	the I-84 corridor is already developed, it would require the least alteration to untouched, pristing lands, and probably would be the least costly for Idaho Power.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
200	5756	November 19, 2009	STEVE GAST	If a line is put through this area, the only way to mitigate this would a more vertically situated line that would be the least damaging to this future. If anything it should follow the natural drainage and not cut across.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C18	3 Siting	NFA	
201	5756	November 19, 2009	STEVE GAST	preferred route would be I-84 because of the development that is already existing and the resources that are available to that area. There are the obvious scenic value of the Elkhorns and the Wallows but those are better accessed through the many secondary roads in the area, in especially Baker Co.	5	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
202	5757	November 19, 2009	JERRY EBELTOFT	If you all could research the line running on the ground (not under) for part of the visual area that would be great.	2	30	Structure	NA	NA	NFA	
204	5759	November 19, 2009	JERRY COWGER	I 84 – the power corridor is already there – it would cost much less – it is a more direct route.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
207	5764	March 24, 2010	ROBERT HALE;CLAUDI A HALE	It seems to us that the most reasonable route through Oregon for the Boardman-Hemingway Line is along Hwy. 84. The valleys are wide, access roads would be good, the land is mostly flat with the exception of the Blue Mts.	7	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	We agree with the comment. I-84 was considered an opportunity for routing throughout the CAP and the Eastern Corridor ,chosen as Idaho Power's Proposed Route, follows in proximity to I-84 where other constraints permit in portions of Baker and Union Counties.
213	5770	August 20, 2009	DAVID MILDREXLER	Opportunity exists for increased utilization of solar energy and conservation within Boise. Oregon should not support unsustainable growth in other states by enabling growth that otherwise could not be supported.	2	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
214	5770	August 20, 2009	DAVID MILDREXLER	A recent plan being developed by the Northwest Power and Conservation Council shows that 85% of the Northwest's new power needs over the next 20 years can be achieved through conservation. Conservation is the approach that needs to be emphasized. The Boardman to Hemingway Transmission Line Project instead transfers power over large distances to fuel unsustainable growth. We cannot afford to pursue growth such as this any longer.	3	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
215	5771	March 11, 2010	GLENN E PALMER	Have you considered building a power plant in Hemmingway over the expense of the transmission line...If Hemmingway or Idaho residents need the additional power, put the power plant in their back yard.	2	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
216	5773	March 15, 2010	SANDY MURRAY; MARK MURRAY	(Western)...Why can't Idaho Power generate electricity on a more local scale?...The fact is, Idaho Power is building a new power plant in Mountain Home. So, why can't they use their own power?	4	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
217	5774	November 19, 2009	NEIL BAUER	The I 84 corridor also is a logical choice logistically. The access for construction & future maintainence is already in place...The I 84 also will have the least impact on wildlife habitat & migrations. There are habitat alterations & migration barriers already there...The vast amount of this land has very limited and minimal use except for the 4 to 6 weeks of the year during the deer & elk seasons. The visual impacts from siting the line on this route is almost negligible.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
218	5774	November 19, 2009	NEIL BAUER	through the Umatilla & Malheur N. Forests. It is over grown & densely stocked with an early successional forest type. There is very little grasses & other forage species available for the deer & elk & other herbivorous species. Opening up the right of way's forest floor to sunlight & seeding with native grasses could actually enhance & increase wildlife populations through the forest.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
219	5776	November 19, 2009	MARK CERNY	utilize the existing I-84 corridor...will cause the least disturbance possible. It is also the most economic route as well as doing the least damage to the environment.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
227	5786	May 25, 2010	MARTIN AND CATHERINE MORROW	There needs to be more proposals for alternative energy generation with smaller distribution infrastructure.	2	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
229	5786	May 25, 2010	MARTIN AND CATHERINE MORROW	Future energy production and transmission needs to invest in renewable production and de-centralized sources with smaller, more local infrastructure.	4	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
231	5793	May 25, 2010	GARY SCHULTZ	Idaho Power should seek in state sources of energy; nuclear power close to where power is needed would be a better choice.	1	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
239	5811	March 19, 2010	JERRY RUSSEL	The I-84 freeway is already an existing modern marvel of man's development. The land area it already consumes must be utilized to its' fullest potential. Maintenance and protection of the highway and the proposed transmission line from natural disasters as well as human inflicted sabotage and eco-terrorism is infinitely easier and more cost effective if located along this easily accessible route.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
240	5813	May 25, 2010	JANICE O'RORKE	The most logical route is down I-84. Access is easy with no new roads needed. Repairing problems will be easy because access is quick and easy – no wilderness to cross or access.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
241	5814	January 30, 2010	THOMAS E BROWN	Would it not be possible to just add capacity as needed instead of projecting the need so far into an uncertain future? Lower voltage transmission lines are much less intrusive and building more of those along different routes would incrementally increase capacity as needed, and would provide redundancy in case of earthquake or other disaster.	3	30	Energy	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Eastern Route	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
242	5814	January 30, 2010	THOMAS E BROWN	I read that a lower voltage line is half the price per mile, so why not build that line, save some money, and see if another line is needed later. I realize that as the voltage doubles the power capacity quadruples, but it still seems that four lines built when and if needed would be a more practical plan.	5	30	Energy	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Eastern Route	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
243	5816	January 26, 2010	ROSE HOWE;DARR ELL HOWE	When one looks at the routes laid out for consideration, it seems most logical to follow the already established I-84 interstate route for the reasons of accessibility which in itself would be a considerable cost savings over carving out a line over the rugged terrain many of the other proposed routes would require.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
244	5817	March 3, 2010	ANDREW STORER	Is there a revised route that you believe is permissible and constructible that should be considered?...Across Malheur and Harney into Lake County to tie into existing corridors in Christmas Valley area. Less people impacted and better utilization of existing corridors.	1	30	Routing	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
246	5818	March 9, 2010	GARY PEARSON	I still believe that a route east of Boise and on to I-84 via Gem, Payette and Washington counties, is a viable, permissible and constructable route.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.14-1 Snake River Valley Region	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	NFA	
248	5820	February 23, 2010	RONALD H. DONATI	I'm sure you have less expensive and more direct routes along the Columbia River corridor that can meet your ultimate goal. I hope you come to the same conclusion and leave Grant County's people, ranches and wild-life with their present environment and without your intrusion into their lives.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
249	5823	November 30, 2009	BRUCE R CORN	I find it very troubling that IP has rejected any line siting east of Boise. Following S13 from my view has the following advantages 1. Existing right of way – no easements of new disruption of citizens 2. Boise Ada area is where growth is 3. Substations other than Hemingway are not built. – therefore could be moved to meet route 4. Portion TV loop will be completed where most needed from population 5. Cost from extra distance falls in OR criteria of reasonable especially when considering not cost for right away as IP has right of way. IP needs to reconsider east Boise route!	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S13	1.2 Project Overview; 3 Siting	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
250	5826	November 28, 2009	ANONYMOUS	alternative route that avoids EFU and leks, and moves back towards I-84.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S21-C6	3 Siting	NFA	
252	5829	November 19, 2009	ROD KUHN	I would say the I84 corridor makes the most sense. Or better would be the route up through Idaho, crossing through S.E. WA. and then down to Boardman.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route; See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S25	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
253	5831	November 19, 2009	LARRY VOTE	The most direct route from source to end user should be the primary concern... Route this thru the populated corridor where it belongs.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
254	5832	November 19, 2009	CINDY THOMAS	Western... Please go back to more urban, developed routes north of us.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
255	5834	March 3, 2010	YOGI HAGBERG;M ELAINE HAGBERG	Central alternative route... If there has to be a route, this is the best one if it can be built above the housing developments on the west side of Baker Valley. I do not agree with others who think the towers will show up more in the trees. The right-of-way tree cutting and the towers themselves should be all but invisible with the backdrop of trees that are left. This route also has a good mix of public and private land.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Central Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
256	5835	March 3, 2010	YOGI HAGBERG;M ELAINE HAGBERG	Route C-3 around Baker (to the west of I-84).	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C3	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
262	5853	January 19, 2010	CATHERINE MILLER	There is an established utility corridor following the I-84 that is much better suited to the Idaho Power project. It is the least costly, more direct route. The established corridor is also the least destructive to private property owners and the wilderness areas left in Oregon.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route; supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
263	5854	January 19, 2010	LARRY MCCOY	Using the established utility corridor following I-84 corridor is by far the most direct, least costly and least destructive to private property owners.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route; supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
265	5860	February 4, 2010	BRYAN VOGT	siting the IPC Project along the initial proposed route following the I-84 corridor, as long as this is done in a manner that does not negatively impact high value agricultural land or other areas of resource concern.	14	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route; supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
266	5862	May 25, 2010	KAREN COULTER	better to avoid all these impacts through greater energy conservation... we need to be conserving energy and reducing existing power use, not building infrastructure for allowing more energy use.	2	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
267	5862	May 25, 2010	KAREN COULTER	if new transmission lines go through, you should try to reduce impacts further than is now the case with the eastern route – esp. no crossing of special status streams and proposed wilderness study areas and sage grouse lek buffer areas, close cooperation with affected farmers.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, Eastern Route	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	Reducing/ mitigating potential impacts of the proposed transmission facilities is an ongoing process and will be addressed by Idaho Power, BLM, the Forest Service, ODOE, and other federal, state and local agencies in the NEPA and EFSC processes to minimize environmental impact to the resources in the area crossed by the Proposed Route.
268	5863	March 9, 2010	JOHN FAW	I still do not see why this cannot stay on the east side of the Snake River as that is the largest service area and where the power will be needed the most.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.14-1 Snake River Valley Region	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	NFA	
270	5865	May 25, 2010	SUE GILLILAN	the route should be in a majority of the state of Idaho but realize that is "off the table" in I.P. thoughts.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S25, C13	1.2 Project Overview; 3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
271	5866	November 19, 2009	CHLOE HUGHES	The power transmission line needs to go through the I-84 corridor, not Grant County.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route; supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
272	5867	November 19, 2009	JUSTIN DEJAGER	The only place this line makes sense is close to the interstate and close to where existing lines are already located.	11	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C18	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
274	5872	March 17, 2010	RICHARD NAUMANN	when Louis and Clark were navigating the Columbia River over 200 years ago is unconscionable. The captive reality is that the I-84 corridor is already environmentally "spoiled", and that it harbors both a major highway and existing power grid and supports energy and transportation needs of the general public utilizing it makes a solid argument that the same public whose objections inspired IP to look west are the folks benefiting.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route; supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
275	5875	March 19, 2010	KATHRYN KLOSKE	The I-84 corridor is the most logical route for this structure. The path is already developed.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route; supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
277	5877	March 22, 2010	RICHARD BAUMANN	Idaho Power has to be captive to the facts: the 1-84 corridor is already host to a major highway and existing power transmission lines, and additionally, those using the corridor for travel are the same folks who benefit from the power and transportation grids.	5	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route; supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
278	5878	March 24, 2010	ADELE CERNY; ANNE TERESE CERNY	The IRP suggests the installation of solar and wind units built near existing facilities to minimize the need for additional transmission lines. Upgrading existing power lines would be a wiser use of resources, as would solar and wind installations, both company and privately owned. Continuing to develop localized resources will minimize transmission needs. Conservation and energy efficient construction is an area that your IRP is severely lacking. Idaho Power is currently building a power plant in Mountain Home, Idaho. It would make more sense to increase the size to this facility, as well as build additional solar units and wind turbines in that area. This environmentally sound action would eliminate the need for transmission line through a pristine area of the Northwest.	2	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
279	5878	March 24, 2010	ADELE CERNY; ANNE TERESE CERNY	The obvious solution is to locate the energy source in close proximity to the energy need; and not traverse hundreds of miles across country.	20	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
280	5878	March 24, 2010	ADELE CERNY; ANNE TERESE CERNY	it is evident that a number of solutions exist that would: a) be cheaper in the long run b) utilize pre-existing right-of-ways c) preserve the economies and sustain the communities that otherwise would be negatively impacted by the current proposal d) preserve and respect the land. e) would coalesce with new green technologies	24	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview; 2 Approach to Siting	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
281	5880	March 25, 2010	THOMAS STECK	Grant County... The route that seems to make the most sense is along the 1-84 corridor. Consider the ease of building and maintenance that the 1-84 route offers opposed to transiting pristine areas of Grant County that do not offer any collateral infrastructural support.	5	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route; supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
291	5900	September 30, 2009	KEN TERAMURA	Preferredoes not affect farming. Do not want S17I farm 400 ac and own 400 ac which S17 crosses on hwy 20-26 by Cario Junction. We grow and ship onions in USA so 900,000 50# sacks. We cannot have curtailment of ag practices because of added costs, we need to use routes that do not affect intensive ag ground.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S17	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	NFA	
293	5904	July 20, 2010	DAVE FREEMAN	Why don't you build an atomic plant where the power is needed!	1	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
300	5911	September 30, 2009	ROBERTA TRENKEL	It will go on the Idaho side and benefit the Idaho users.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S13, S6	2 Approach to Siting	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
301	5912	September 30, 2009	ANNE CORRIGALL	preferable because it avoids most Malheur County farmland and can be tied easily into an energy loop around the Treasure Valley.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes S13, S6; C9,S18,S19, S20, S21	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
302	5913	September 30, 2009	ROGER CORRIGALL	I prefer route... because it avoids most farm ground in Malheur County and it also ties into an energy loop around Treasure Valley. It also avoids populated areas.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes S13, S6; C9,S18,S19, S20, S21,S23	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
308	5923	September 30, 2009	ALICE HANSEN-URE	If Idaho uses the most power than Malheur County then it should be on Idaho lands.	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S23,C9, S18, S13,,S19, S21	2 Approach to Siting	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
309	5928	January 19, 2010	LEON SKILES	GR proposed by Judge Webb. Would prefer the route up I-84. But if you must have one through Grant County use GR.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1 and Figure 3.4-6, CAP Routes G1,G2, G3 and support Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
310	5929	January 19, 2010	FRANK SILVA	wants you to go back to the I-84 Route, and stay out of Grant County.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
311	5938	January 19, 2010	LARRY MCCOY	The I-84 corridor makes the best sense – it follows the most direct, flat and buildable route.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
312	5941	January 19, 2010	DOUG HIGHLAND	I back the line that closely follows the I-84 corridor.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
316	5948	December 8, 2009	RICK MENDIVE;W ANETA MENDIVE	we would like to see power primarily for Idaho located in Idaho	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S6, S17, S18	2 Approach to Siting	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
318	5953	December 8, 2009	JERRY HOAGLAND	Just to make sure the Hemingway to the Oregon state line is south of the existing Pacific Corp line and... a pivot on the south side of the Pacific Corp northwest of Jump Creek ACEC.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.14-1 Snake River Valley Region, Segment XX	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	There does not appear to be any impacts to any pivots east of the OR/ID state line and northwest of Jump Creek ACEC.
322	5959	July 28, 2009	CLINTON KENNINGTON ;PATRICIA KENNINGTON	when the question was asked, how a spur line might join B2H with a possible Sand Hollow substation built at a later date, the answer from Idaho Power was that it would go from Boardman south through Idaho counties. This should be a current option.	1	30	Routing	NA	NA	NFA	The Sand Hollow Substation is no longer part of the Boardman to Hemingway project.
324	5971	August 26, 2009	HERBERT C MITCHELL;MI CHAEL RUNYON	Provide opportunity for direct D.C. solar or AC solar turbines --- arch. We would consider allocating land + providing R + D + testing of elect. powered vehicles.	5	30	General	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
328	5974	August 27, 2009	PAT TRENKEL	Our preferred route is in Idaho as this alternative would be most likely to preserve our farmland and home, there would also be less chance of having to go through this time-consuming procedure again should Oregon's EFU laws come into play, or should Idaho Power decide they need another route to connect Idaho to an Oregon route, A secondary alternative would be Jean Findley's route near Buchanan.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1,	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	NFA	
330	5981	August 27, 2009	PATRICIA PHILLIPS	Why can't this line go from Baker Co into Idaho	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3.1	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	NFA	
333	5986	March 26, 2010	RICHARD HAINES;LIND A HAINES	CENTRAL...I feel the most appropriate corridor that should be considered is the existing right of way through the Baker Valley that I understand Idaho Power has legal right to. While there are issues with this right of way, I would suggest that energy be applied to effective mitigation of those concerns.	10	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, oppose Central Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
334	5987	March 25, 2010	MARK BAGETT	If a transmission line must be erected through eastern Oregon, the Aldrich Mountains Working Group challenges Idaho Power to select the route with the fewest ecological consequences— preferably within a corridor already impacted by (and being mitigated for) development.	10	30	N	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, oppose Western Route	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
335	5988	March 24, 2010	ROBERT STEWART	WESTERN...The use of the existing establisher corridor in the north section of the line would be one of the best draws for that route	8	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, oppose Western Route, support Eastern Route	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
337	5988	March 24, 2010	ROBERT STEWART	WESTERN...Idaho Power needs to look at the newer smaller nuclear power plant technology being designed by Babcock and Wilcox. By placing these small units that are the size of railroad cars next to high demand customers they will reduce powerline building costs, enviromental mitigations, private land owner litigation, and public anger at visual objections. Recycling the spent waste into new fuel rods could also cut costs	7	30	Energy		1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
339	5992	October 21, 2009	ADELE CERNY	WESTERN...Let's concentrate unsightliness in areas of existing transmission paths & pigback on them. The Idaho Power rep. explained that he believed that is not a good option because if one transmission was impacted by snow, fire, or windstorms. It is unlikely that both would fail. 2) Site along major highways and cities; eg I-84	5	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	2 Approach to Siting; 3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
340	5996	January 7, 2010	JEFF JOHNSON	If the Sand Hollow substation drives part of the route in Oregon (for example, if it precludes following the existing PPL line to the south of Malheur valley) then the application must demonstrate that the substation is essential to the project and cannot be relocated someplace that allows avoidance of the EFU zone in Malheur County."	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, opposes CAP Route S18; supports H7, H8, S19	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	NFA	The Sand Hollow Substation is no longer part of the Boardman to Hemingway project.
343	5999	March 27, 2010	JAN BAUER;NEIL BAUER	WESTERN...Idaho has wind. Well then, take your trans Oregon investment dollars and invest them into windmill power units and put them and your electrical monsters on your own residents' properties.	1	30	Energy	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
345	6002	October 21, 2009	JIM HAMMETT	Use existing transportation and transmission corridors. I-84 is the logical location for this line. There may be short deviations to avoid critical areas, but that general corridor should be used.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, support Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
346	6003	March 2, 2010	MARILYN ALLEN	If there must be a transmission line I would suggest the I-84 corridor.	5	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, support Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
351	6021	October 21, 2009	CHRIS BECKER	Use existing corridors, where highways and power lines already exist, or at least areas that are less pristine	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C9, C6	2 Approach to Siting; 3 Siting	NFA	
352	6027	August 12, 2009	TERRY GIRT	Why not follow existing routes with a 230 kV line and work on gas fired generators closer to the projected need areas (Boise)?	3	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
356	6031	August 26, 2009	ELIAS D JACA	Keep it south of the existing PPL line.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Appendix E, Maps 51-55, Proposed Route and Alternative Routes	4.1 Proposed Route Description by County	NFA	
357	6032	August 26, 2009	INEZ L. JACA	Keep the line off Private Property Keep the line South of PPL.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Appendix E, Maps 51-55, Proposed Route and Alternative Routes	4.1 Proposed Route Description by County	NFA	
367	6045	September 27, 2009	DELBERT STAFFORD	Probably best route would be west of Vale on BLM land	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.3-1	3 Siting	NFA	
382	6065	September 27, 2009	GARY BOOR	Use one of Stop Idaho Power suggested routes.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Routes	3 Siting	NFA	
385	6068	September 27, 2009	BRUCE PENN	All for energy use in Idaho. I think this route should run through Idaho + not Malheur County...Route from Baker Co into Idaho. We need an Idaho route - they will benefit the most	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S6	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	NFA	
388	6070	August 25, 2009	CLAYTON WHEELER	Build nuclear plants close to high use areas	2	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
391	6073	August 25, 2009	EDWARD TSCHIDA	Why wait one year too build that electric plant at Langley Gulch if Boise needs more power for growth why not build another gas fired plant south of Boise the Snake River is right there for water	1	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
423	6120	August 25, 2009	DOROTHY E BIVINS	BLM land that lies east of the Nu Acres area - on up to the Wwsel area and then cross the river animal life on the expanse of BLM land can more easily be avoided and protected than human life scattered in a random manner around the Nu Acres area.	4	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S6, S13	3.3.14 Snake River Valley Region	NFA	
426	6123	October 21, 2009	ROD KUHN	(Western)... follow the I-84 route where there is already plenty of development.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
428	6128	August 13, 2009	KRIS KELLER;GORDON D. RUMMOND	Transporting power this far is ridiculous. How about thinking of power producers that can live in Idaho country? Put up windmills...I know there is wind	4	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
430	6130	August 13, 2009	LYNDA DELORE	conservation could provide 85% of power-possibly personal elec. Generation-smaller windmill generation personal elec. Solar- Also education of general public in conserving our resources...If the studies by NW Power & Conservation are acted upon would there still be a need for the transmission line.	1	30	General	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type <i>Routing, Structure, Energy, General</i>	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category		Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
431	6130	August 13, 2009	LYNDA DELORE	Maybe tax incentives for conservation ideas-. Channel time, effort, money towards education toward conservation.	4	30	General	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
432	6131	August 13, 2009	LAUNA G FRAHM;ROD ERICK FRAHM;JOHN B MILBERT	Go south of Adrian + you can go through BLM in the desert...there is plenty of arid ground in Eastern Oregon that is not useful or populated.	2	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting; 4.1 Proposed Route Description by County	NFA	
433	6131	August 13, 2009	LAUNA G FRAHM;ROD ERICK FRAHM;JOHN B MILBERT	have property owners use more solar + the need for .5 growth will stabilize. Since air conditioning is a big problem - we know there is plenty of sun light to draw from in the summer.	4	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
434	6132	October 21, 2009	CHARLIE O'RORKE;JAN ICE O'RORKE	My suggestion is that it into S. Washington and over and down into Idaho. After all it is to benefit Boise and Idaho communities	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S25 and C13	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
436	6137	September 3, 2010	DONALD BECK	There needs to be more enfaces on locally produced green energy thereby eliminating the waste created by the loss of energy along the route of high voltage power lines...Therefore every effort should be on locally produced energy...Where is the Green we all here about or conservation and efficiency when it comes down to reality?	8	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
438	6141	October 21, 2009	JERRY EBELTOFT	Then there is the concern about conservation as a real possibility instead of expanding the grid - has this really been looked at?	2	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
440	6141	October 21, 2009	JERRY EBELTOFT	Another possible for the Baker area would be to follow the Power River from North Power south to Hwy 86 and then cut back to I-84 and continue (there are no trees to deal with in this area).	4	30	Routing	NA	2 Approach to Siting	NFA	Siting a transmission line adjacent to a river is not a recommend siting approach. Operation and maintenance of the line would have substantial impact on the quality and habitat surrounding the river. Additionally, a 10 mile segment of the Powder River between North Powder and Highway 86 is designated a Wild and Scenic River, which is a protected area. Much of this Wild and Scenic Designation is also classified as an Area of Critical Environmental Concern, an exclusion area under EFSC regulations. Also, this region (east of the existing 230kV line between North Powder and Highway 86) is prime Sage-grouse habitat and 2-mile lek buffers (exclusion areas under EFSC regulations) are prevalent.
441	6142	January 13, 2010	ROBERT STEWART	Upgrade existing power grid down I-84 with substation at end	8	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, support Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
444	6144	August 26, 2009	ROBBIN ANDERSON	Look at smaller energy producing facilities closer to your projected needs.	4	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
449	6149	August 13, 2009	DAVID WILDMAN	efficiency needs to be improved by the consumers.	3	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
455	6156	October 21, 2009	EVA HARRIS	I would like to see more study done on the concept of developing more localized power generation and using existing local distribution lines, thus reducing the need for such large distribution lines.	1	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.

APPENDIX B
RESPONSE TO 2009-2010 COMMUNITY ADVISORY PROCESS COMMENTS PERTAINING TO ALTERNATIVES

Original Seq. Cmt. No.	Comments in Response to Community Advisory Process						Comment Type	Accounted for in IPC CAP Siting Study		EIS Recommendation	Comment
	CAP Letter Number	Date CAP Letter Received	Commenter	Comment	CAP Letter Comment Number	CAP Comment Category	Routing, Structure, Energy, General	Associated With Route Identified Below	Further Discussion in Siting Study Section(s) Identified Below		
458	6158	October 21, 2009	MARGARET COREY	innovative conservation and potential local energy strategies are implemented...Put these billion and brain resources into: recycling entire Idaho area affeted (as a new world demo project) into a "state of the art" hi tech irrigation and air conditioning/tree and street and roof landscape design project...i.e. conserve, rethink, redesign our systems - rethink how we spend our collectively hard earned billions for the future.	1	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
462	6166	July 29, 2009	NANCY PEYRON	Should be discussing alternative energy besides huge towers & 500kV power lines. - This is important & should be added, technology is catching up	1	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
465	6173	October 29, 2009	S. RUSS	[Western route] If need is due to increased computer use large TV sets perhaps the need for more power could be offset by consumer reduction in demand.	2	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	1.2 Project Overview; 3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
466	6175	August 13, 2009	MARY MCCRACKEN	I support investments in conservation, local generation & less long distance major transmission. Power loss en rute is massive. I feel this is outdated technology. *Especially not thro public lands or critial habitat & corridors. Summer increased demand? Local solar!	1	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
467	6176	October 21, 2009	SUE PORTER	The I-84 corridor is clearly the logical, practical choice for citing this line. The freeway and attendant building and development have already established the infrastructure for building and maintaining a new transmission line. The impact on private and protected lands has already taken place.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
471	6189	May 25, 2010	CHLOE HUGHES	An alternative to running the line along the flanks of Aldrich Mt (high construction difficulty, in full view from the "Journey through time" Scenic Byway for 10 to 13 miles) Bring the line south then east. It could reconnect to the Western Route.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
473	6204	November 19, 2009	SHARILYN COXEN	Please use I84 corridor or NE corner of OR.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, oppose Western Route, support Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
478	6228	July 15, 2010	KEITH GREEN	Map # 11 Parcel number(s) RP02N05W161801A, RP02N05W161802A Move line further south to avoid private property.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Appendix E, Maps 51-52, Proposed Route MP 281-283	NA	NFA	IPC's 12-6 Proposed Route has been moved south onto BLM land where possible
479	6231	January 19, 2010	RALPH MILLER	Using the established utility corridor following I-84 corridor	3	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.4-6, opposes Western Route, supports Eastern Route	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	
481	6235	September 30, 2009	BILL CLARICH	Boardman to Burns on existing 500 kv from first service roads to John Day Hwy	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route C9, C18, S96, S23	3.3.9 Southwest Region	NFA	
488	6258	June 4, 2009	DONI CLAIR	What are your concerns about siting the Boardman to Hemingway transmission line?... Instead of ""building plants"" help to finance private ""green"" energy - solar, wind, low velocity hydro	4	30	Energy	NA	1.2 Project Overview	NFA	Does not meet Project Purpose and Need, see Section 2 of POD.
496	6264	September 30, 2009	JOHN LAX	You also could go further east towards Elmore County so you wouldn't have view shed problems in the Boise area.	1	30	Routing	See Siting Study Figure 3.1-1, CAP Route S13	3.4 Alternative Routes	NFA	